

Noncommutative Geometry  
Chapter 7:  
Noncommutative Residue,  
and Zeta Functions of Elliptic Operators

Sichuan University, Spring 2025

## Additional References

- Slides of my 2022 online course.

# Logarithmic Singularity of $\Psi$ DO Kernels

## Setup

- $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open set.
- $P \in \Psi^m(U)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with symbol  $p(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} p_{m-j}(x, \xi)$ .
- $k_P(x, y) =$  Schwartz kernel of  $P$ .

## Reminder

- ①  $k_P(x, y)$  is  $C^\infty$  for  $x \neq y$ .
- ② If  $m < -n$ , then  $k_P(x, y)$  is continuous on  $U \times U$ .

## Question

If  $m \geq -n$ , then what is the behaviour of  $k_P(x, y)$  near  $x = y$ ?

# Logarithmic Singularity of $\Psi$ DO Kernels

## Proposition

Let  $P \in \Psi^m(U)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \geq -n$ . Near  $x = y$  its Schwartz kernel  $k_P(x, y)$  has a behaviour of the form,

$$k_P(x, y) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq m+n} a_k\left(x, \frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right) |x-y|^{-k} - c_P(x) \log |x-y| + O(1).$$

Here  $a_k(x, \theta) \in C^\infty(U \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , and  $c_P(x) \in C^\infty(U)$  is given by

$$c_P(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p_{-n}(x, \xi) d\xi,$$

where  $p_{-n}(x, \xi)$  is the symbol of degree  $-n$  of  $P$ .

## Remark

$a_k(x, \theta)$  only depends on the homogeneous symbol  $p_{m-k}(x, \xi)$  of degree  $m - k$  of  $P$ .

# Logarithmic Singularity of $\Psi$ DO Kernels

## Setup

- $U_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open set.
- $\phi : U_1 \rightarrow U$  is a  $C^\infty$ -diffeomorphism.

## Fact

$$\phi^* P := (\phi^{-1})_* \in \Psi^m(U_1).$$

## Proposition

*We have*

$$c_{\phi^* P}(x) = |\phi'(x)| c_P(\phi(x)) \quad \forall x \in U_1.$$

## Remark

In other words the logarithmic singularities  $c_P(x)$  satisfy the transformation law of densities.

# Logarithmic Singularity of $\Psi$ DO Kernels

## Setup

$M$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $n$ .

## Reminder

If  $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ , then,  $\kappa_*(P|_U) \in \Psi^m(V)$  for every chart  $\kappa : U \rightarrow V$ .

## Proposition

Let  $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \geq -n$ . There is a unique smooth density  $c_P$  on  $M$  such that, for every chart  $\kappa : U \rightarrow V$ , we have

$$(c_P)_\kappa(x) = c_{\kappa_*(P|_U)}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} p_{-n}^\kappa(x, \xi) d\xi,$$

where  $p_{-n}^\kappa(x, \xi)$  is the symbol of degree  $-n$  of  $\kappa_*(P|_U)$ .

# Logarithmic Singularity of $\Psi$ DO Kernels

## Remark

If  $P$  is a differential operator on  $M$ , then  $c_P(x) = 0$  everywhere, since  $P$  has no symbols of negative degree.

## Remark

- In case  $P \in \Psi^{-n}(M)$ , i.e.,  $P$  has order  $m = -n$ , then its symbol of degree  $-n$  agrees with its principal symbol.
- It thus makes sense as a function  $p_{-n}(x, \xi) \in C^\infty(T^*M \setminus 0)$ .
- It then can be shown that, if  $u \in C_c(M)$ , then

$$\int_M u(x) c_P(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{S^*M} f(x) p_{-n}(x, \xi) dx d\xi,$$

where  $S^*M = (T^*M \setminus 0)/\mathbb{R}_+^*$  is the cosphere bundle and  $dx d\xi$  is its Liouville measure.

# Noncommutative Residue

## Setup

$M$  is a compact manifold of dimension  $n$ .

## Definition (Noncommutative Residue)

If  $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then its noncommutative residue is

$$\text{Res}(P) := \int_M c_P(x).$$

## Remarks

- ①  $\text{Res}(P) = 0$  if  $P$  is a differential operator or if  $P$  has order  $< -n$ .
- ② If  $P$  has order  $-n$ , then

$$\text{Res}(P) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{S^*M} p_{-n}(x, \xi) dx d\xi,$$

where  $p_{-n}(x, \xi)$  is the principal symbol of  $P$ .



# Noncommutative Residue

## Notation

$$\Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \Psi^m(M).$$

## Remark

As  $M$  is compact,  $\Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$  is an algebra:

- If  $P_1 \in \Psi^{m_1}(M)$  and  $P_2 \in \Psi^{m_2}(M)$  with  $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $m_1 + m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and so

$$P_1 P_2 \in \Psi^{m_1+m_2}(M) \subseteq \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M).$$

- If  $m_2 \geq m_1$ , then  $\Psi^{m_1}(M) \subseteq \Psi^{m_2}(M)$ , and so we have

$$P_1 + P_2 \in \Psi^{m_1}(M) + \Psi^{m_2}(M) \subseteq \Psi^{m_2}(M) \subseteq \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M).$$

## Remark

We then can regard the noncommutative residue as a linear functional  $\text{Res} : \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

# Noncommutative Residue

## Proposition

- ① If  $P_1 \in \Psi^{m_1}(M)$  and  $P_2 \in \Psi^{m_2}(M)$  are such that  $m_1 + m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then

$$\text{Res}(P_1 P_2) = \text{Res}(P_2 P_1).$$

- ② In particular, the noncommutative residue  $\text{Res} : \Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a linear trace on the algebra  $\Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ .

## Theorem (Wodzicki, Guillemin)

Every linear trace on  $\Psi^{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$  is a constant multiple of the noncommutative residue.

## Example

$\Delta_g$  = Laplacian on  $M$  associated with some Riemannian metric  $g$ .

- The principal symbol of  $\Delta_g$  is  $p_2(x, \xi) = |\xi|_g^2$ , where  $|\xi|_g^2 = \sum g^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j$  is the Riemannian metric on  $T^*M$ .
- $\Delta_g^{-n/2}$  is in  $\Psi^{-n}(M)$ , and its principal symbol is  $p_{-n}(x, \xi) = |\xi|_g^{-n}$ .
- It then can be shown that

$$c_{\Delta_g^{-n/2}}(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{S_x^* M} |\xi|_g^{-n} d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \nu(g)(x),$$

where  $\nu(g)$  is the Riemannian density.

- Thus, if we set  $c(n) := (2\pi)^{-n} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ , then

$$\text{Res} \left( \Delta_g^{-\frac{n}{2}} \right) = c(n) \int_M \nu(g) = c(n) \text{Vol}_g(M).$$

# Meromorphic Extension of the Trace

## Setup

- $M$  = compact manifold of dimension  $n$ .
- $\mu$  = positive smooth measure on  $M$ .
- $P \in \Psi^m(M)$ ,  $m > 0$ , is positive-elliptic.
- $p_m(x, \xi)$  = principal symbol of  $P$ .

## Remark

The positive-elliptic assumption means that

- $p_m(x, \xi) > 0$  for all  $(x, \xi) \in T^*M \setminus 0$ .
- $P^* = P$  and  $\text{Sp}(P) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ .

# Meromorphic Extension of the Trace

## Reminder

- Each operator  $P^{-z}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , is in  $\Psi^{-mz}(M)$ .
- Its principal symbol is  $p_m(x, \xi)^{-z}$ .

## Facts

Let  $A \in \Psi^a(M)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- The operator  $AP^{-z}$  is in  $\Psi^{a-mz}(M)$ .
- In particular  $AP^{-z}$  is trace-class for  $a - m\Re z > -n$ , i.e.,  $\Re z > m^{-1}(n + a)$ .
- Thus,  $\text{Tr}[AP^{-z}]$  is well defined for  $\Re z > m^{-1}(n + a)$ .

# Meromorphic Extension of the Trace

## Proposition (Wodzicki, Guillemin)

Let  $A \in \Psi^a(M)$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , and set  $\Sigma := \{m^{-1}(n + a - j); j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

- ① The function  $z \rightarrow \text{Tr}[AP^{-z}]$  has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}$  with at worst simple pole singularities on  $\Sigma$ .
- ② If  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , then

$$m \text{Res}_{z=\sigma} \text{Tr} [AP^{-z}] = \text{Res} [AP^{-\sigma}].$$

- ③ In particular, if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \geq -n$ , then for  $\sigma = 0$  we get

$$m \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr} [AP^{-z}] = \text{Res}(A).$$

## Remark

$\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

# Zeta Functions of Elliptic Operators

## Reminder

- $P^{-z} \in \Psi^{-mz}(M)$ .
- Thus,  $P^{-z}$  is trace-class for  $-m\Re z < -n$ , i.e.,  $\Re z > m^{-1}n$ .

## Definition

The zeta function of  $P$  is defined by

$$\zeta_P(z) = \mathrm{Tr} [P^{-z}], \quad \Re z > m^{-1}n.$$

# Zeta Functions of Elliptic Operators

Applying the previous result to  $A = 1$  and  $a = 0$  gives:

## Theorem

Set  $\Sigma := \{m^{-1}(n - j); j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

- 1 The zeta function  $\zeta_P(z) = \text{Tr}[P^{-z}]$  has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}$  with at worst simple pole singularities on  $\Sigma \setminus 0$ .
- 2 If  $\sigma \in \Sigma \setminus 0$ , then

$$m \text{Res}_{z=\sigma} \zeta_P(z) = \text{Res} [P^{-\sigma}].$$

- 3 In particular,  $\zeta_P(z)$  always has a regular value at  $z = 0$ .

## Remark

There is no residue at  $z = 0$ , because for  $A = 1$  we get

$$m \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr} [P^{-z}] = \text{Res}(1) = 0.$$



# Weyl's Law for Elliptic Operators

## Theorem (Ikehara's Tauberian Theorem; see Shubin)

Assume  $N(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , is a non-decreasing function s.t.

- (i)  $N(t) = 0$  near  $t = 0$ .
- (ii) The integral  $\zeta(z) := \int t^{-z} dN(t)$  converges for  $\Re z > \sigma > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\zeta(z)$  admits a meromorphic extension to some half-plane  $\Re z > \sigma - \epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , with only a simple pole at  $z = \sigma$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=\sigma} \zeta(z) = c > 0.$$

Then, we have

$$N(t) \sim \frac{1}{\sigma} c t^\sigma \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

# Weyl's Law for Elliptic Operators

## Definition

The counting function of  $P$  is

$$N_P(t) := \# \{j; 0 < \lambda_j(P) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda_0(P) \leq \lambda_1(P) \leq \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $P$ .

## Remark

- We have

$$dN_P(t) = \sum_{\lambda_j(P) > 0} \delta_{\lambda_j(P)}(t),$$

where  $\delta_{\lambda_j(P)}(t)$  is the Dirac measure at  $\lambda_j(P)$ .

- Thus, for  $\Re z > m^{-1}n$  we have

$$\zeta_P(z) = \sum_{\lambda_j(P) > 0} \lambda_j(P)^{-z} = \int t^{-z} dN_P(t).$$

# Weyl's Law for Elliptic Operators

## Theorem (Weyl's Law; 1st Version)

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$N_P(t) \sim \frac{(2\pi)^{-n}}{n} \left( \iint_{S^*M} p_m(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}} dx d\xi \right) t^{\frac{n}{m}}.$$

## Proof.

- For  $\Re z > m^{-1}n$ , we have  $\int t^{-z} dN_P(t) = \zeta_P(z)$ .
- The function  $\zeta_P(z)$  is holomorphic for  $\Re z > m^{-1}n$ .
- It has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane  $\Re z > m^{-1}(n-1)$  with only a simple pole at  $z = m^{-1}n$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=m^{-1}n} \zeta_P(z) = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Res} \left[ P^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right].$$



# Weyl's Law for Elliptic Operators

Proof.

- Here  $P^{-n/m}$  has order  $-n$ .
- Its principal symbol is  $p_m(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}} > 0$ .
- Thus,

$$\text{Res} \left[ P^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right] = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{S^*M} p_m(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}} dx d\xi$$

- Therefore, we may apply Ikehara's Tauberian theorem to get

$$\begin{aligned} N_P(t) &= \frac{m}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{m} \text{Res} \left[ P^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right] t^{\frac{n}{m}} + o\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\right) \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^{-n}}{n} \left( \iint_{S^*M} p_m(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}} dx d\xi \right) t^{\frac{n}{m}} + o\left(t^{\frac{n}{m}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

# Weyl's Law for Elliptic Operators

## Lemma

*We always have*

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j(P)^{-\frac{m}{n}} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P(t),$$
$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j(P)^{-\frac{m}{n}} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P(t).$$

Therefore, we obtain:

## Theorem (Weyl's Law; 2nd Version)

*As  $j \rightarrow \infty$  we have*

$$\lambda_j(P) \sim j^{\frac{m}{n}} \left( \frac{(2\pi)^{-n}}{n} \iint_{S^*M} p_m(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}} dx d\xi \right)^{-\frac{m}{n}}.$$