

Noncommutative Geometry
Chapter 11:
Birman-Solomyak's Weyl Laws. Applications

Sichuan University, Fall 2022

Definition (Weak Schatten Classes $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$)

Let $p \in (0, \infty)$.

- 1 The weak Schatten class $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ consists of all $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\mu_n(T) = O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- 2 For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we set

$$\|T\|_{p,\infty} := \sup_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_n(T).$$

Proposition

- ① $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.
- ② $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is a quasi-norm which respect to which $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is a quasi-Banach ideal.

Remark

- ① If $p > 1$, then $\|\cdot\|_{p,\infty}$ is equivalent to the norm,

$$\|T\|'_{p,\infty} = \sup_{N \geq 1} \left\{ N^{-1+\frac{1}{p}} \sum_{j < N} \mu_j(T) \right\}, \quad T \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}.$$

- ② In this case, $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is a Banach ideal (w.r.t. that norm).

Reminder: Weak Schatten Classes

Notation

$\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty}$ is the closure in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ of the ideal of finite-rank operators.

Proposition

① We have

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty} = \left\{ T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}); \mu_n(T) = o\left(n^{-\frac{1}{p}}\right) \right\}.$$

② We have a strict inclusion $\mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty} \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.

③ In particular, $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is not separable.

Remark

For $0 < p < q$ we have strict inclusions,

$$\mathcal{L}^p \subsetneq \mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty} \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty} \subsetneq \mathcal{L}^q.$$

Reminder: Eigenvalue Sequences

Definition

An eigenvalue sequence $\lambda(T) = \{\lambda_j(T)\}_{j \geq 0}$ is any sequence s.t.:

- 1 $\lambda_j(T)$ is an eigenvalue of T and is repeated according to (algebraic) multiplicity.
- 2 $|\lambda_0(T)| \geq |\lambda_1(T)| \geq \dots$.

Remarks

- 1 An eigenvalue sequence need not be unique.
- 2 If $T \geq 0$, then $\lambda_j(T) = \mu_j(T)$.
- 3 We shall denote by $\lambda(T)$ any eigenvalue sequence for T .

Definition

If $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, its real and imaginary parts are

$$\Re A := \frac{1}{2} (A + A^*), \quad \Im A := \frac{1}{2i} (A - A^*).$$

Definition

If $A^* = A$, its positive and negative parts are

$$A_+ := \frac{1}{2} (|A| + A), \quad A_- := \frac{1}{2} (|A| - A).$$

Reminder: Weyl Operators

Definition

If $A = A^*$ is compact, then $\pm\lambda_j^\pm(A)$, $j \geq 0$, are the positive eigenvalues of A such that

$$\lambda_0^\pm(A) \geq \lambda_1^\pm(A) \geq \cdots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity.

Remark

In other words,

$$\lambda_j^\pm(A) = \lambda_j(A_\pm) = \mu_j(A_\pm), \quad j \geq 0.$$

Reminder: Weyl Operators

Definition

We say that $A \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is a Weyl operator if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

- (i) $A \geq 0$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{1/p} \lambda_j(A)$ exists.
- (ii) $A = A^*$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{1/p} \lambda_j^\pm(A)$ both exist.
- (iii) The real and imaginary parts both satisfy (ii).

Notation

The class of Weyl operators in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ is denoted $\mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$.

Reminder: Weyl Operators

Definition

Let A be a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.

- ① If $A \geq 0$, then we set

$$\Lambda(A) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j(A).$$

- ② If $A^* = A$, then we set

$$\Lambda^\pm(A) := \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^\pm(A).$$

- ③ In general, we set

$$\Lambda^\pm(A) := \Lambda^\pm(\Re A) + i\Lambda^\pm(\Im A).$$

Reminder: Birman-Solomyak Perturbation Theory

Proposition (Birman-Solomyak '70s)

- 1 $\mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ on which the functions Λ^\pm are continuous.
- 2 If $A \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty}$, then $A + B \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$ and $\Lambda^\pm(A + B) = \Lambda^\pm(A)$.

Remark

In particular, if $A_\ell \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ with $A_\ell = A_\ell^* \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$, then $A \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$, and

$$\Lambda^\pm(A) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda^\pm(A_\ell).$$

That is,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^\pm(A) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^\pm(A_\ell).$$

Reminder: Birman-Solomyak Perturbation Theory

Definition

$|\mathcal{W}|^{p,\infty}$ consists of operators $A \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ s.t. $|A| \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$. That is,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j(|A|) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(A) \text{ exists.}$$

Remark

If $|A| \in \mathcal{W}^{p,\infty}$, then

$$\Lambda(|A|) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j(|A|) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(A).$$

Reminder: Birman-Solomyak Perturbation Theory

Proposition (Birman-Solomyak '70s)

- 1 $|\mathcal{W}|^{p,\infty}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ on which $A \rightarrow \Lambda(|A|)$ is continuous.
- 2 If $A \in |\mathcal{W}|^{p,\infty}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty}$, then $A + B \in |\mathcal{W}|^{p,\infty}$ and $\Lambda(|A + B|) = \Lambda(|A|)$.

Remark

In particular, if $A_\ell \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ with $A_\ell \in |\mathcal{W}|^{p,\infty}$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(A) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(A_\ell).$$

Reminder: Weak-Schatten Class Properties of Ψ DOs

Setup

- (M^n, g) = closed Riemannian manifold.
- $(\mathcal{E}^r, \|\cdot\|_x)$ = Hermitian vector bundle over M .

Reminder

If $P \in \Psi^{-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$, $m > 0$, then $P \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ with $p = nm^{-1}$.

Zeta Functions of Elliptic Ψ DOs

We will only need the following result on zeta functions of elliptic Ψ DOs from Chapter 9.

Proposition

Let $P \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$, $m > 0$, be elliptic, and let $A \in \Psi^0(M, \mathcal{E})$. Then the function $z \rightarrow \text{Tr}[A|P|^{-z}]$ is holomorphic for $\Re z > nm^{-1}$ and has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\Re z > n(m+1)^{-1}$ with at worst a simple pole at $z = nm^{-1}$ s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} m \text{Res}_{z=nm^{-1}} \text{Tr} [A|P|^{-z}] &= \text{Res} \left[A|P|^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right] \\ &= \iint_{S^*M} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{E}} \left[\sigma_0(A)(x, \xi) |\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right] dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Reminder: Ikehara's Tauberian Theorem

Theorem (Ikehara's Tauberian Theorem; see Shubin)

Assume $N(t)$, $t \geq 0$, is a non-decreasing function s.t.

- (i) $N(t) = 0$ near $t = 0$.
- (ii) The integral $\zeta(z) := \int t^{-z} dN(t)$ converges for $\Re z > q > 0$.
- (iii) $\zeta(z)$ admits a meromorphic extension to a half-plane $\Re z > q - \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ with only a simple pole at $z = q$ s.t.

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=q} \zeta(z) = A > 0.$$

Then, we have

$$N(t) \sim \frac{1}{q} A t^q \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Reminder: Weyl's Law for Positive-Elliptic Operators

Setup

$P \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$, $m > 0$, is positive-elliptic, i.e.,

- (i) P is elliptic with $\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi) > 0$.
- (ii) P is selfadjoint and ≥ 0 .
- (iii) P is invertible, i.e., $0 \notin \text{Sp}(P)$.

Fact

The spectrum of P can be arranged as a non-decreasing sequence,

$$0 < \lambda_0(P) \leq \lambda_1(P) \leq \cdots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity.

Definition

The counting function of P then is

$$N_P(t) := \# \{j; 0 < \lambda_j(P) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Reminder: Weyl's Law for Positive-Elliptic Operators

Fact

We have

$$\int t^{-z} dN_P(t) = \sum \lambda_j(P)^{-z} = \text{Tr} [P^{-z}] = \text{Tr} [|P|^{-z}]$$

Therefore, by applying the result on zeta functions of elliptic operators and Ikehara's Tauberian we get:

Theorem (Weyl's Law; 1st Version)

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$N_P(t) \sim \frac{1}{n} \left(\int_{S^*_M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}}] dx d\xi \right) t^{\frac{n}{m}}.$$

Reminder: Weyl's Law for Positive-Elliptic Operators

Lemma

We always have

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j(P)^{-\frac{m}{n}} = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P(t),$$
$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j(P)^{-\frac{m}{n}} = \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P(t).$$

Therefore, we obtain:

Theorem (Weyl's Law; 2nd Version)

As $j \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\lambda_j(P) \sim j^{\frac{m}{n}} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}}] dx d\xi \right)^{-\frac{m}{n}}.$$

Reminder: Weyl's Law for Positive-Elliptic Operators

Remark

- These Weyl's laws continue to hold if we only assume that P is selfadjoint and $\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi) > 0$.
- In this case P is bounded from below and has at most finitely many non-positive eigenvalues.
- Thus, if j is large enough, then

$$\lambda_j(P) = \lambda_j(|P| + \Pi_0(P)),$$

where $\Pi_0(P)$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\ker P$.

- $|P| + \Pi_0(P)$ is positive-elliptic, and
 $\sigma_m(|P| + \Pi_0(P)) = |\sigma_m(P)| = \sigma_m(P)$
- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-\frac{m}{n}} \lambda_j(P) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-\frac{m}{n}} \lambda_j(|P| + \Pi_0(P)) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)^{-\frac{n}{m}}] dx d\xi \right)^{-\frac{m}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Setup

$P \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$, $m > 0$, is elliptic and selfadjoint.

Facts

- The spectrum of P consists of isolated real eigenvalues with finite multiplicity.
- The positive/negative eigenvalues $\pm\lambda_j(P)$ of P can be arranged as a non-decreasing sequence,

$$0 < \lambda_0^\pm(P) \leq \lambda_1^\pm(P) \leq \cdots,$$

where each eigenvalue is repeated according to multiplicity.

Remark

- The positive/negative parts of P are

$$P_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (|P| \pm P).$$

- As P is elliptic, $|P| \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\sigma_m(|P|)(x, \xi) = |\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|.$$

- Thus, $P_{\pm} \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_m(P_{\pm})(x, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} (|\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)| \pm \sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)) \\ &= \sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Definition

$\Pi_{\pm}(P)$ is the orthogonal projection onto the positive/negative eigenspace of P , i.e.,

$$E_{\pm}(P) := \bigoplus_{\pm\lambda>0} \ker(P - \lambda).$$

Lemma

$\Pi_{\pm}(P) \in \Psi^0(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\sigma_0(\Pi_{\pm}(P))(x, \xi) = \pi_{\pm}(\sigma_m(x, \xi)),$$

where $\pi_{\pm}(\sigma_m(x, \xi))$, $(x, \xi) \in T^*M \setminus 0$, is the orthogonal projection onto the positive/negative eigenspace of $\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)$.

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Proof.

- Let $F(P) = \text{sign}(P) = P|P|^{-1}$ be the sign of P .
- Let $\Pi_0(P)$ be the orthogonal projection onto $\ker P$.
- We have

$$\Pi_{\pm}(P) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm F(P)) - \frac{1}{2} \Pi_0(P).$$

- Here $|P|^{-1} \in \Psi^{-m}(M)$, and

$$\sigma_{-m}(|P|^{-1})(x, \xi) = \sigma_m(|P|)(x, \xi)^{-1} = |\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|^{-1}.$$

- Thus, $F(P) = P|P|^{-1} \in \Psi^0(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\sigma_0(F(P))(x, \xi) = \sigma_m(P)(x, \xi) |\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|^{-1} = \text{sign}(\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)).$$

- In addition, $\Pi_0(P)$ is a smoothing operator.
- It follows that $\Pi_{\pm}(P) \in \Psi^0(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\sigma_0(\Pi_{\pm}(P))(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \pm \text{sign}(\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi))) = \pi_{\pm}(\sigma_m(x, \xi)).$$

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Definition

The counting functions of P are

$$N_P^\pm(t) := \# \left\{ j; 0 < \lambda_j^\pm(P) \leq t \right\}, \quad t > 0.$$

Theorem (Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Ψ DOs)

① We have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}^{-\frac{n}{m}}] dx d\xi.$$

② We have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-\frac{m}{n}} \lambda_j^\pm(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}^{-\frac{n}{m}}] dx d\xi \right)^{-\frac{m}{n}}.$$

Proof.

The 2nd part follows from the 1st part, so we only have to prove the 1st part. □

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Proof.

- For $\Re z > nm^{-1}$, we have

$$\zeta^\pm(z) := \int t^{-z} dN_P^\pm(t) = \sum \lambda_j^\pm(P)^{-z} = \operatorname{Tr} [P_\pm^{-z}] = \operatorname{Tr} [\Pi_\pm(P) |P|^{-z}].$$

- Here $\Pi_\pm(P) \in \Psi^0(M, \mathcal{E})$ and P is elliptic.
- The result on zeta functions of elliptic Ψ DOs then ensures that $\zeta^\pm(z)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the half-plane $\Re z > n(m+1)^{-1}$ with at worst a pole at $z = nm^{-1}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=nm^{-1}} \zeta^\pm(z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=nm^{-1}} \operatorname{Tr} [\Pi_\pm(P) |P|^{-z}] = \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Res} \left[\Pi_\pm(P) |P|^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right].$$

- Applying Ikehara's Tauberian theorem then gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\frac{n}{m}} N_P^\pm(t) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res} \left[\Pi_\pm(P) |P|^{-\frac{n}{m}} \right].$$

□

Weyl's Laws for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators

Proof.

- It remains to evaluate $\text{Res}[\Pi_{\pm}(P)|P|^{-n/m}]$.

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-n}\left(\Pi_{\pm}(P)|P|^{-\frac{n}{m}}\right)(x, \xi) &= \sigma_0(\Pi_{\pm}(P))(x, \xi)\sigma_{-n}\left(|P|^{-\frac{n}{m}}\right)(x, \xi) \\ &= \pi_{\pm}(\sigma_m(x, \xi))|\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|^{-\frac{n}{m}} \\ &= \sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}^{-\frac{n}{m}}\end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Res}\left[\Pi_{\pm}(P)|P|^{-\frac{n}{m}}\right] &= \iint_{S^*M} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{E}}\left[\sigma_{-n}\left(\Pi_{\pm}(P)|P|^{-\frac{n}{m}}\right)(x, \xi)\right] dx d\xi \\ &= \iint_{S^*M} \text{tr}_{\mathcal{E}}\left[\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}^{-\frac{n}{m}}\right] dx d\xi\end{aligned}$$

- This gives the result. □

Theorem (Birman-Solomyak '70s)

Let $P \in \Psi^{-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$, $m > 0$, and set $p = nm^{-1}$.

- ① P and $|P|$ are both Weyl operators in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$.
- ② We have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

- ③ If $P^* = P$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^{\pm}(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Remarks

- The details of the original proof of Birman-Solomyak are only available in Russian in a paper which is hard to find.
- Their proof is very condensed and can be hard to understand for people not familiar with their work.
- They actually assume little regularity on the symbols the Ψ DOs.
- I'll present here the soft proof I got in a recent paper.

Proof.

Step 1: $P \in \Psi^{-(m+1)}(M, \mathcal{E})$.

- In this case $\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi) = 0$.
- Moreover, $P \in \mathcal{L}^{q, \infty}$, with $q = n(m+1)^{-1} < p$.
- Thus $P \in \mathcal{L}_0^{p, \infty}$, and so we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(P) = 0 = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

Proof.

Step 2: $P = Q^{-1}$, where $Q \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$ is positive-elliptic.

- Here $\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi) = \sigma_m(Q)(x, \xi)^{-1}$.
- We also have $\mu_j(P) = \lambda_j(P^{-1}) = \lambda_j(P)^{-1}$.
- Thus, the Weyl's law for positive-elliptic Ψ DOs gives

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(P) &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j(Q)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{-\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j(Q) \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_m(Q)(x, \xi)^{-p}] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof.

Step 3: $\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi) > 0$.

- As $\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)^{-1} > 0$, there is a positive-elliptic operator $Q \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\sigma_m(Q) = \sigma_{-m}(P)^{-1}$.
- $Q^{-1} \in \Psi^{-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$ and $\sigma_{-m}(Q^{-1}) = \sigma_m(Q)^{-1} = \sigma_{-m}(P)$.
- Thus, $R := P - Q^{-1} \in \Psi^{-(m+1)}(M, \mathcal{E})$, and hence $R \in \mathcal{L}_0^{p, \infty}$ by Step 1.
- As Q is positive-elliptic, Step 2 shows that Q^{-1} is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$.
- It follows that $P = Q^{-1} + R$ and $|P|$ are Weyl operators in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(P) &= \Lambda(Q^{-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(Q^{-1})(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$



The BKS Inequality

Proposition (BKS Inequality, Birman-Koplienko-Solomyak '70)

Let T_1 and T_2 be positive operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $T_1 - T_2 \in \mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$. Then $\sqrt{T_1} - \sqrt{T_2} \in \mathcal{L}^{2p,\infty}$, and

$$\|\sqrt{T_1} - \sqrt{T_2}\|_{2p,\infty} \leq C_p \sqrt{\|T_1 - T_2\|_{p,\infty}},$$

where the constant C_p does not depend on T_1 or T_2 .

Proof.

Step 4: Approximation of $|P|$.

- Let $Q_0 \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$ be positive-elliptic with $\sigma_m(Q_0)(x, \xi) = |\xi|_g^m \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}_x}$.

- For $\epsilon > 0$ set $A_\epsilon = \sqrt{P^*P + \epsilon^2 Q_0^{-2}}$.

- We have

$$A_\epsilon^2 - |P|^2 = P^*P + \epsilon^2 Q_0^{-2} - P^*P = \epsilon^2 Q_0^{-2} \in \Psi^{-2m}(M, \mathcal{E}).$$

- As $\Psi^{-2m}(M, \mathcal{E}) \subset \mathcal{L}^{p/2, \infty}$, we see that $A_\epsilon^2 - |P|^2 \in \mathcal{L}^{p/2, \infty}$.
- The BKS inequality then ensures that

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_\epsilon - |P|\|_{p, \infty} &\leq C_p \sqrt{\|A_\epsilon^2 - |P|^2\|_{\frac{p}{2}, \infty}} \\ &\leq C_p \epsilon \sqrt{\|Q_0^{-2}\|_{\frac{p}{2}, \infty}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

- That is, $A_\epsilon \rightarrow |P|$ in $\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}$.



Proof.

Step 5: A_ϵ is a Weyl operator.

- Here $A_\epsilon^2 = P^*P + \epsilon^2 Q_0^{-2} \in \Psi^{-2m}(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-2m}(A_\epsilon^2) &= \sigma_{-m}(P)^* \sigma_{-m} + \epsilon^2 \sigma_{-2m}(Q_0)^{-2} \\ &= |\sigma_m(P)|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\xi|_g^{-2m} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Let $Q_\epsilon \in \Psi^m(M, \mathcal{E})$ be positive-elliptic with

$$\sigma_m(Q_\epsilon)(x, \xi) = (|\sigma_m(P)(x, \xi)|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\xi|_g^{-2m})^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sigma_{-2m}(A_\epsilon^2)(x, \xi)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- Here $Q_\epsilon^{-2} \in \Psi^{-2m}(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\sigma_{-2m}(Q_\epsilon^{-2})(x, \xi) = \sigma_m(Q_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^{-2} = \sigma_{-2m}(A_\epsilon^2)(x, \xi).$$

- Thus, $A_\epsilon^2 - Q_\epsilon^{-2}$ is in $\Psi^{-(2m+1)}(M, \mathcal{E})$, and hence is in $\mathcal{L}^{q, \infty}$ with $q = n(2m+1)^{-1}$.



Proof.

- As $A_\epsilon^2 - Q_\epsilon^{-2} \in \mathcal{L}^{q,\infty}$ with $q = n(2m+1)^{-1} < \frac{1}{2}p$, the BKS inequality implies that

$$A_\epsilon - Q_\epsilon^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^{2q,\infty} \subset \mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty}.$$

- By Step 2 Q_ϵ^{-1} is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.
- Birman-Solomyak's perturbation theory and Step 2 ensure that A_ϵ is a Weyl operator, and

$$\Lambda(A_\epsilon) = \Lambda(Q_\epsilon^{-1}) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*_M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon^{-1})(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

□

Proof.

Step 6: $|P|$ is a Weyl operator.

- By Step 4 $A_\epsilon \rightarrow |P|$ in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.
- By Step 5 each operator A_ϵ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.
- Birman-Solomyak's perturbation theory then ensures that $|P|$ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(|P|) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda(A_\epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon^{-1})(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof.

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon^{-1})(x, \xi) &= \sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^{-1} \\ &= (|\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|^2 + \epsilon^2|\xi|_g^{-2m})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\longrightarrow |\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|.\end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(|P|) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon^{-1})(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

- That is,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_j(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)|^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$



Proof.

Step 6: $P^* = P$, approximation of P_+ .

- From now on we assume that $P^* = P$.

- We have

$$P_+ = \frac{1}{2} (|P| + P).$$

- For $\epsilon > 0$ we set

$$B_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} (A_\epsilon + P).$$

- By Step 4 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ we have

$$B_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} (A_\epsilon + P) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} (|P| + P) = P_+.$$



Proof.

Step 7: B_ϵ is a Weyl operator.

- Set $\tilde{B}_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}(Q_\epsilon^{-1} + P)$.
- Here $\tilde{B}_\epsilon \in \Psi^{-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_{-m}(Q_\epsilon^{-1}) + \sigma_{-m}(P)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\sqrt{|\sigma_{-m}(P)|^2 + \epsilon^2|\xi|^{-2m}} + \sigma_{-m}(P)\right) \\ &\geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}|\xi|^{-m} > 0.\end{aligned}$$

- Step 3 then ensures that \tilde{B}_ϵ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$, and

$$\Lambda(\tilde{B}_\epsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

Proof.

- By Step 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{B}_\epsilon - B_\epsilon &= \frac{1}{2} (Q_\epsilon^{-1} + P) - \frac{1}{2} (A_\epsilon + P) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (Q_\epsilon^{-1} - A_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{L}_0^{p,\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

- As \tilde{B}_ϵ is a Weyl operator, Birman-Solomyak's perturbation theory ensures that B_ϵ is a Weyl operator, and we have

$$\Lambda(B_\epsilon) = \Lambda(\tilde{B}_\epsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

□

Proof.

Step 8: P_+ is a Weyl operator.

- By Step 6 $B_\epsilon \rightarrow P_+$ in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.
- By Step 7 B_ϵ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.
- Thus, by Birman-Solomyak's perturbation theory P_+ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(P_+) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \Lambda(B_\epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof.

- As shown in Step 7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{|\sigma_{-m}(P)|^2 + \epsilon^2 |\xi|^{-2m}} + \sigma_{-m}(P) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} (|\sigma_{-m}(P)| + \sigma_{-m}(P)) = \sigma_{-m}(P)_+.\end{aligned}$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(P_+) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(\tilde{B}_\epsilon)(x, \xi)^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)_+^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.\end{aligned}$$

- This means that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^+(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)_+^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof.

Step 9: P is a Weyl operator.

- Replacing by P by $-P$ shows that $P_- = (-P)_+$ is a Weyl operator, and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^-(P) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-m}(P)(x, \xi)_-^p] dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

- Thus, P is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p, \infty}$.



Proof.

Step 10: $P \neq P^*$.

- If $P^* \neq P$, then $\Re P = \frac{1}{2}(P + P^*)$ and $\Im P = \frac{1}{2i}(P - P^*)$ are selfadjoint operators in $\Psi^{-m}(M, \mathcal{E})$.
- Therefore, they are Weyl operators in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$ by Step 9.
- This shows that P is a Weyl operator $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$.

The proof is complete. □

Reminder: Weyl Operator and NC Integration

Proposition

Let A be a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}$.

- ① A is strongly measurable, and we have

$$\int A = \Lambda^+(A) - \Lambda^-(A).$$

- ② In particular, if $A^* = A$, then

$$\int A = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j\lambda_j^+(A) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j\lambda_j^-(A).$$

Corollary

If $|A|$ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}$, then $|A|$ is strongly measurable, and

$$\int |A| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j\mu_j(A).$$

Connes' Trace Theorem Revisited

For Ψ DOs of order $-n$ Birman-Solomyak's Weyl's law yields:

Theorem (Birman-Solomyak '70s)

Let $P \in \Psi^{-n}(M, \mathcal{E})$.

① P and $|P|$ are both Weyl operators in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}$.

② We have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \mu_j(P) = \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)|] dx d\xi.$$

③ If $P^* = P$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j^{\pm}(P) = \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)_{\pm}] dx d\xi.$$

Corollary (Connes' Trace Theorem)

If $P \in \Psi^{-n}(M, \mathcal{E})$, then P is strongly measurable, and we have

$$\int P = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}(P).$$

Connes' Trace Theorem Revisited

Proof.

- As P is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}$, it is strongly measurable.
- Moreover, if $P^* = P$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\oint P &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j^+(P) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j \lambda_j^-(P) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)_+ - \sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)_-] dx d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)] dx d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \mathrm{Res}(P).\end{aligned}$$

- By linearity we get the result for any $P \in \Psi^{-n}(M, \mathcal{E})$.



Connes' Trace Theorem Revisited

We also get a version of Connes' trace theorem for absolute values.

Corollary

If $P \in \Psi^{-n}(M, \mathcal{E})$, then $|P|$ is strongly measurable, and we have

$$\int |P| = \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)|] dx d\xi.$$

Proof.

- As $|P|$ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{1,\infty}$, it is strongly measurable, and we have
- Moreover, we have

$$\int |P| = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int j \mu_j(P) = \frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [|\sigma_{-n}(P)(x, \xi)|] dx d\xi.$$

□

Reminder: Birman-Schwinger Principle

Setup

- H = selfadjoint (unbounded) operator with non-negative spectrum.
- V = selfadjoint operator such that $(1 + H)^{-1/2} V (1 + H)^{-1/2}$ is compact.

Facts

- The operator $H_V := H + V$ is selfadjoint.
- The negative part of its spectrum is discrete, i.e., it consists of isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity.

Definition

$N^-(H_V)$ is the number of negative eigenvalues counted with multiplicity.

Proposition (Birman-Schwinger Principle)

Assume that

- 0 is an isolated eigenvalue of H with finite multiplicity.
- $H^{-1/2} V H^{-1/2}$ is a Weyl operator in $\mathcal{L}^{p,\infty}$, $p > 0$.

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{2p} N^- (h^2 H + V) &= \left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \lambda_j^- \left(H^{-\frac{1}{2}} V H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right)^p \\ &= \int \left(H^{-\frac{1}{2}} V H^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)_-^p. \end{aligned}$$

Semiclassical Weyl's Laws

Notation

$$c(n) = \frac{1}{n}(2\pi)^{-n}|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| = (2\pi)^{-n}|\mathbb{B}^n|.$$

Theorem (Birman-Solomyak)

If $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{2}{n}} \lambda_j^\pm \left(\Delta_g^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \Delta_g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) = \left(c(n) \int_M f_\pm(x)^{\frac{n}{2}} d\nu_g(x) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

where $f_\pm(x) = \max(0, \pm f(x))$ are the positive/negative parts of f .

Semiclassical Weyl's Laws

Proof.

- We apply Birman-Solomyak's result to $P = \Delta_g^{-1/2} f \Delta_g^{-1/2}$.
- This is a selfadjoint Ψ DO of order -2 .
- $\sigma_{-1}(\Delta_g^{-1/2}) = \sigma_2(\Delta_g)^{-1/2} = |\xi|_g^{-1}$.
- Thus,

$$\sigma_{-2}(\Delta_g^{-1/2} f \Delta_g^{-1/2}) = \sigma_{-1}(\Delta_g^{-1/2}) f \sigma_{-1}(\Delta_g^{-1/2}) = f(x) |\xi|_g^{-2}.$$

- In particular $\sigma_{-2}(\Delta_g^{-1/2} f \Delta_g^{-1/2})(x, \xi)_{\pm} = f_{\pm}(x) |\xi|_g^{-2}$.
- Birman-Solomyak's Weyl's law then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{2}{n}} \lambda_j^{\pm} \left(\Delta_g^{-\frac{1}{2}} f \Delta_g^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \iint_{S^*M} f_{\pm}(x) |\xi|_g^{-2} dx d\xi \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \\ &= \left(c(n) \int_M f_{\pm}(x)^{\frac{n}{2}} d\nu_g(x) \right)^{\frac{2}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark

Cwikel's estimates and Birman-Solomyak's perturbation theory ensure that the previous spectral asymptotics continues to hold in the following situations:

- $n \geq 3$ and $f \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}(M)$.
- $n = 2$ and $f \in L \log L(M)$.
- $n = 1$ and $f \in L^1(M)$.

Semiclassical Weyl's Laws

Combining the previous spectral asymptotics with the Birman-Schwinger principle gives:

Theorem (Semiclassical Weyl's Law)

If $V \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^n N^-(h^2 \Delta_g + V) = c(n) \int_M V_-(x)^{\frac{n}{2}} d\nu_g(x).$$

Semiclassical Weyl's Laws

More generally, we have:

Proposition (Birman-Solomyak)

Let $q > 0$. The following hold:

- ① For all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{q}{n}} \mu_j \left(\Delta_g^{-\frac{q}{4}} f \Delta_g^{-\frac{q}{4}} \right) = \left(c(n) \int_M |f(x)|^{\frac{n}{q}} d\nu_g(x) \right)^{\frac{q}{n}}.$$

- ② For all $f \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{q}{n}} \lambda_j^\pm \left(\Delta_g^{-\frac{q}{4}} f \Delta_g^{-\frac{q}{4}} \right) = \left(c(n) \int_M f_\pm(x)^{\frac{n}{q}} d\nu_g(x) \right)^{\frac{q}{n}}.$$

- ③ For all $V \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^n N^- \left(h^{2q} \Delta_g^q + V \right) = c(n) \int_M V_-(x)^{\frac{n}{2q}} d\nu_g(x).$$