# Differentiable Manifolds §19. The Exterior Derivative

Sichuan University, Fall 2022

# Reminder: Exterior Derivative on an Open Set

## Definition (Exterior derivative on open set)

Let U be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The exterior derivative  $d: \Omega^*(U) \to \Omega^*(U)$  is defined as follows:

- For k=0 the exterior derivative of a 0-form (i.e., a  $C^{\infty}$  function) f on U is its differential, i.e.,  $df = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i$ .
- For  $k \ge 1$ , the exterior derivative  $\omega = \sum a_I dx^I \in \Omega^k(U)$  is

$$d\omega = \sum da_I \wedge dx^I = \sum_I \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial a_I}{\partial x^j} dx^j \right) \wedge dx^I.$$

#### Remarks

- If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$ , then  $d\omega \in \Omega^{k+1}(U)$ .
- In particular,  $d\omega = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega^n(U)$ .

## Reminder: Exterior Derivative on an Open Set

### Reminder (Graded Algebras)

An algebra A over a field  $\mathbb{K}$  is called *graded* when it can be decomposed as

$$A = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k,$$

where the  $A^k$  are subspaces such that the multiplication maps  $A^k \times A^\ell$  to  $A^{k+\ell}$ .

### Reminder (Antiderivation of a Graded Algebra; see Section 4)

Let  $A = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$  be a graded algebra over a field  $\mathbb{K}$ .

- An antiderivation of A is any linear map  $D: A \to A$  such that  $D(ab) = (Da)b + (-1)^k aDb \qquad \text{for all } a \in A^k \text{ and } b \in A.$
- We say that D has degree m when  $D(A^k) \subset A^{k+m}$  for all k.

# Reminder: Exterior Derivative on an Open Set

#### Reminder

 $\Omega^*(U) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Omega^k(U)$  is a graded algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Reminder (Proposition 4.7)

The exterior derivative  $d: \Omega^*(U) \to \Omega^*(U)$  satisfies the following properties:

(i) It is an antiderivation of degree 1, i.e.,

$$d(\omega \wedge \tau) = (d\omega) \wedge \tau + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \wedge d\tau.$$

- (ii)  $d^2 = 0$ , i.e.,  $d(d\omega) = 0$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega^*(U)$ .
- (iii) If  $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$  and  $X \in \mathcal{X}(U)$ , then (df)(X) = Xf.

### Reminder (Proposition 4.8)

The exterior derivative is the unique map  $D: \Omega^*(U) \to \Omega^*(U)$  that satisfies the properties (i)–(iii) above.

## Exterior Derivative on a Manifold

#### Reminder

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension n. Then the exterior algebra of differential forms  $\Omega^*(M) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Omega^k(M)$  is a graded algebra.

#### Definition

An exterior derivative on a manifold M is a linear map  $D: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$  satisfying the following properties:

- (i) It is an antiderivation of degree 1.
- (ii)  $D \circ D = 0$ .
- (iii) On  $\Omega^0(M) = C^{\infty}(M)$  it agrees with the differential of functions, i.e., Df = df for all  $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$ .

### Theorem (Theorem 19.4)

There is a unique exterior derivative  $d: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$ .

#### Reminder

Let  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  be a chart for M.

- $\{dx^I; I \in \mathscr{I}_{k,n}\}$  is a smooth frame of  $\Omega^k(M)$  over U.
- Every smooth k-form  $\omega$  on U can be uniquely written as  $\omega = \sum a_I dx^I$  with  $a_I$  in  $C^\infty(U)$ .

#### Definition

Let  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  be a chart. Define  $d_U : \Omega^*(U) \to \Omega^*(U)$  by

(i) If 
$$f \in C^{\infty}(U) = \Omega^{0}(U)$$
, then

$$d_U f = df = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} dx^i.$$

(ii) If 
$$\omega = \sum a_I dx^I \in \Omega^k(U)$$
,  $k \ge 1$ , then 
$$d\omega = \sum_I da_I \wedge dx^I.$$

In the same way as in the case of an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we get:

#### Lemma

 $d_U: \Omega^*(U) \to \Omega^*(U)$  is the unique exterior derivative on U.

#### Remark

- The proof of uniqueness in Tu's book lacks details.
- Tu's arguments require to show that if  $D: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$  is an exterior derivative, then

$$D(dx^I) = 0$$
  $\forall I \in \mathscr{I}_{k,n}, \ k \ge 1.$ 

This can be proved by induction on k.

- $\underline{k=1}$ :  $D(dx^i) = D \circ D(x^i) = 0$  since D=d on  $C^{\infty}(M)$ .
- Assume the result for k. Let  $I = (i_1, \dots i_{k+1}) \in \mathscr{I}_{k+1,n}$  and set  $J = (i_2, \dots, i_{k+1}) \in \mathscr{I}_{k,n}$ . We have

$$dx^{I} = dx^{i_1} \wedge dx^{i_2} \wedge \cdots dx^{i_{k+1}} = dx^{i_1} \wedge dx^{J}.$$

As D is an antiderivation, we get

$$D(dx^{I}) = D(dx^{i_1} \wedge dx^{J}) = D(dx^{i_1}) \wedge dx^{J} - dx^{i_1} \wedge D(dx^{J}) = 0,$$
  
since  $D(dx^{i_1}) = 0$  and  $D(dx^{J}) = 0.$ 

#### Remark (Continued)

- Once it has been established that  $D(dx^I) = 0$ , it can be shown that  $D = d_U$  as in Tu's book.
- Let  $\omega = \sum a_I dx^I \in \Omega^k(U)$ . As D is an antiderivation and agrees with the differential on functions, we get

$$D\omega = \sum D(a_I dx^I) = \sum D(a_I) \wedge dx^I + \sum a_I D(dx^I)$$
$$= \sum da^I \wedge dx^I$$
$$= d_{II}\omega.$$

• This shows that  $d_U$  is the only exterior derivative on U.

#### **Facts**

Let  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ . Let  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  and  $(V, y^1, \dots, y^n)$  be charts for M near  $p \in M$ .

• Write  $\omega = \sum a_I dx^I$  on U and  $\omega = \sum b_I dy^I$  on V. Then on  $U \cap V$  we have

$$\omega = \sum a_I dx^I = \sum b_I dy^I.$$

• The uniqueness of  $d_{U \cap V}$  ensures that on  $U \cap V$  we have

$$\sum da_I \wedge dx^I = d_{U \cap V}(\omega_{|U \cap V}) = \sum db_I \wedge dy^I.$$

• As  $p \in U \cap V$ , we get

$$d_U(\omega_{|U})_p = \sum \left( da_I \wedge dx^I 
ight)_p = \sum \left( db_I \wedge dy^I 
ight)_p = d_V(\omega_{|V})_p.$$

#### Consequence

 $d_U(\omega_{|U})_p$  depends only on  $\omega$  and p, not on U.

#### Definition

The map  $d: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$  is defined as follows: if  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$  and  $p \in M$ , then  $(d\omega)_p = d_U(\omega_{|U})_p,$ 

where U is the domain of any chart near p.

#### Theorem (Theorem 19.4)

The map  $d: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$  is the unique exterior derivative on M.

#### Definition

 $d: \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^*(M)$  is called the *exterior derivative* of M.

#### Remark

Let  $\omega \in \Omega^k(U)$  and  $(U, x^1, \dots, x^n)$  a chart for M.

- By definition  $(d\omega)_p=d_U(\omega_{|U})_p$  for all  $p\in U$ . Thus,  $(d\omega)_{|U}=d_U(\omega_{|U}).$
- In particular, if  $\omega = \sum a_I dx^I$  on U, then

$$(d\omega)_{|U} = d_U(\omega_{|U}) = \sum da^I \wedge dx^I$$
 on  $U$ .

## Exterior Differentiation Under a Pullback

#### Reminder (see slides on Section 18)

Let  $F: N \to M$  be a smooth map.

• If  $\omega$  is a k-form on M, then its pullback  $F^*\omega$  is the k-form on N given by

$$(F^*\omega)_p(v_1,\ldots,v_k) = ((F_{*,p})^*\omega_p)(v_1,\ldots,v_k) = \omega_p(F_{*,p}v_1,\ldots,F_{*,p}v_k), \quad v_i \in T_pN.$$

• If  $\omega$  is a smooth form on M, then  $F^*\omega$  is a smooth form on N.

## Exterior Differentiation Under a Pullback

Exterior differentiation commutes with pullback. Namely, we have:

## Proposition (Proposition 19.5)

Let  $F: N \to M$  be a smooth map. If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ , then  $F^*(d\omega) = d(F^*\omega)$ .

#### Remark

- In Tu's book, Proposition 19.5 is used to show that smoothness of k-forms is preserved by pullback.
- This is not fully rigorous since in order to make sense Proposition 19.5 requires the smoothness of pullbacks of smooth forms.
- Anyway, smoothness of pullbacks of forms can be proved without using Proposition 19.5 (see slides on Section 18).

## Restriction of k -Forms to Submanifolds

#### Reminder

Let S be an immersed submanifold in M.

- The inclusion  $i: S \to M$  is an immersion, and so its differential  $i_{*,p}: T_pS \to T_pM$  is an injection for every  $p \in S$ .
- This allows us to identify  $T_pS$  with a subspace of  $T_pM$ .
- We thus can restrict to S any k-covector  $\omega_p \in \Lambda^k(T_p^*M)$ ; this defines a k-covector on  $T_pS$ , i.e., an element of  $\Lambda^k(T_p^*S)$ .

#### Definition

If  $\omega$  is a k-form on M, its restriction to S, denoted  $\omega_{|S}$ , is the k-form on S defined by

$$(\omega_{|S})_p(v_1,\ldots,v_k)=\omega_p(v_1,\ldots,v_k)$$
 for all  $p\in S$  and  $v_i\in T_pS$ .

## Restriction of k-Forms to Submanifolds

In the same way as with 1-forms we have:

#### **Proposition**

Let S be an immersed submanifold in M. If  $i: S \to M$  is the inclusion of S into M and  $\omega$  is a k-form on M, then  $\omega_{|S} = i^*\omega$ .

As pullbacks by smooth maps preserve smoothness we get:

#### Corollary

Let S be an immersed submanifold in M. If  $\omega$  is a smooth k-forms on M, then  $\omega_{|S}$  is a smooth k-form on S.

## Restriction of k-Forms to Submanifolds

#### Corollary

Let S be an immersed submanifold in M. If  $\omega \in \Omega^k(M)$ , then  $(d\omega)_{|S} = d(\omega_{|S}).$ 

#### Proof.

Let  $i: S \to M$  be the inclusion of S into M. As exterior differentiation commutes with pullback by i, we get

$$(d\omega)_{|S} = i^*(d\omega) = d(i^*\omega) = d(\omega_{|S}).$$

The result is proved.

#### Remark

As  $(d\omega)_{|S}$  and  $d(\omega_{|S})$  agree, we simply write  $d\omega_{|S}$  to mean either expression.

# A Nowhere-Vanishing 1-Forms on $\mathbb{S}^1$

#### Example

• The unit circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$  has equation  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . This a regular submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thus,

$$[d(x^2+y^2)]_{|\mathbb{S}^1} = d[(x^2+y^2)_{|\mathbb{S}^1}] = d1 = 0.$$

 $\bullet$  On  $\mathbb{R}^2$  we also have

$$d(x^2+y^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(x^2+y^2)dx + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(x^2+y^2)dy = 2xdx + 2ydy.$$

Thus,

$$\left(xdx+ydy\right)_{|\mathbb{S}^1}=0.$$

• In particular, on regions of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  where  $x \neq 0$  and  $y \neq 0$ , we have

$$\frac{dy}{x} = -\frac{dx}{y}.$$

# A Nowhere-Vanishing 1-Forms on $\mathbb{S}^1$

#### Example (continued)

• Set  $U_x = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^1; x \neq 0\}$  and  $U_y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^1; y \neq 0\}$ . Let  $\omega$  be the 1-form on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  defined by

$$\omega = rac{dy}{x}$$
 on  $U_x$ ,  $\omega = -rac{dx}{y}$  on  $U_y$ .

- This is well-defined since  $\frac{dy}{x} = -\frac{dx}{y}$  on  $U_x \cap U_y$ .
- $\omega$  is a smooth 1-form, since on both  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  it is the restriction of a smooth 1-form on an open of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Proposition (see Tu's book)

The 1-form  $\omega$  is a nowhere-vanishing smooth 1-form on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , i.e.,  $\omega_p \neq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$ .