

Commutative Algebra

Chapter 5: Integral Dependence and Valuations

Sichuan University, Fall 2022

Reminder

Let k be a field.

- An element x of some field extension of k is said to be *algebraic over k* if it is the root of some polynomial equation with coefficients in k , i.e.,

$$a_0x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in k, \quad a_n \neq 0.$$

- An *algebraic extension* of k is a field extension L of k in which every element is algebraic over k .
- We say that k is *algebraically closed* when the all the roots of every polynomial equation with coefficients in k are in k .
- Every field admits an algebraically closed extension.

Definition

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. We say that $x \in B$ is *integral over* A if it is a solution of a *monic* polynomial equation with coefficients in A , i.e., an equation of the form,

$$x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in A.$$

Remark

Every $x \in A$ is integral over A .

Integral Dependence

Example

Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $B = \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ is integral over \mathbb{Z} if and only if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof.

- Let $x = p/q$ be integral over \mathbb{Z} with p, q coprime:

$$(p/q)^n + a_1(p/q)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

- Multiplying by q^n gives

$$p^n = -(a_1q + \cdots + a_nq^n) = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_nq^{n-1})q.$$

Thus, q divides p^n .

- As p and q are coprime this is possible only if $q = 1$, i.e., $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. □

Integral Dependence

Proposition (Proposition 5.1)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings and $x \in B$. TFAE:

- (i) x is integral over A .
- (ii) $A[x]$ is a finitely generated A -module.
- (iii) $A[x]$ is contained in a subring C of B such that C is a finitely generated A -module.
- (iv) There is a faithful $A[x]$ -module M which is finitely generated as an A -module.

Reminder (Faithful module; see Chapter 2)

A module M over A is *faithful* when its annihilator is zero, i.e., if $a \in A$, then

$$ax = 0 \quad \forall x \in M \implies a = 0.$$

Reminder (Proposition 2.4; Cayley-Hamilton Theorem)

Let M be a finitely generated A -module and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A . Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ be an A -module endomorphism such that $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$. Then ϕ satisfies an equation of the form,

$$\phi^n + a_1\phi^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.1.

- If x is integral over A , then $x^n = -(a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n)$. Thus,
$$x^{n+r} = -(a_1x^{n+r-1} + \dots + a_nx^r) \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$
- By induction $x^{n+r} \in Ax^{n-1} + \dots + A$ for all $r \geq 0$, and hence $A[x]$ is generated by $x^{n-1}, \dots, 1$.
- In particular, $A[x]$ is finitely generated. Thus, (i) implies (ii).
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Take $C = A[x]$.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Take $M = C$. Here C is a faithful module, since $yC = 0 \Rightarrow y1 = 0 \Rightarrow y = 0$.



Proof of Proposition 5.1; Continued.

- Assume (iv). Apply Proposition 2.4 to M and $\mathfrak{a} = A$ and $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ given by the multiplication by x .
- Here $\phi(M) = xM \subseteq M = AM$, and so by Prop. 2.4 there are $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that

$$\phi^n + a_1\phi^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0.$$

- That is, $(x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n)M = 0$, and hence $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$, since M is faithful.
- This means that x integral over A , and hence (iv) implies (i).

The proof is complete. □

Reminder (Proposition 2.16)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. If M is a finitely generated B -module and B is finitely generated as an A -module, then M is finitely generated as an A -module.

Integral Dependence

Corollary (Corollary 5.2)

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be elements of B that are integral over A . Then the ring $A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a finitely generated A -module.

Proof.

We proceed by induction on n .

- For $n = 1$ this is Proposition 5.1(ii) .
- Assume the result is true for $n - 1$. Set $A_r = A[x_1, \dots, x_r]$.
- By assumption A_{n-1} is finitely generated over A .
- Here x_n is integral over A , and hence is integral over A_{n-1} .
- By Prop. 5.2(ii) $A_n = A_{n-1}[x_n]$ is finitely generated over A_{n-1} .
- By Proposition 2.16 A_n is finitely generated over A .

This gives the result. □

Corollary (Corollary 5.3)

The set of all elements of B that are integral over A forms a sub-ring of B containing A .

Proof.

- Let $x, y \in B$ be integral over A .
- By Corollary 5.2 $A[x, y]$ is finitely generated over A .
- $A[x \pm y]$ and $A[xy]$ are contained in $A[x, y]$.
- Proposition 5.1(iii) then implies that $x \pm y$ and xy are integral over A .

This proves the result. □

Integral Dependence

Definition (Integral closure)

The sub-ring of elements of B that are integral over A is called the *integral closure of A in B* and is denoted $B * A$ (Gaillard's notation).

Definition

- We say that A is *integrally closed* if $B * A = A$.
- We say that B is *integral over A* if $B * A = B$, i.e., every $x \in B$ is integral over A .

Remark

$B * A$ is integral over A .

Reminder (Finite and finite-type algebras; see Chapter 2)

Let B be an A -algebra.

- We say that the algebra B is *finite* if it is finitely generated as an A -module.
- We say that the algebra B has *finite type* if $B = A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for some $x_i \in B$.

Remark

It follows from Corollary 5.2 that if an A -algebra B has finite type and is integral over A , then B is a finite A -algebra.

Integral Dependence

Corollary (Corollary 5.4)

Let $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$ be rings such that B is integral over A and C is integral over B . Then C is integral over A .

Proof.

- Let $x \in C$. Then x is integral over B , i.e.,

$$x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n = 0, \quad b_i \in B.$$

- By Prop. 5.2 $B' = A[b_1, \dots, b_n]$ is finitely generated over A .
- As x is integral over B' , Prop. 5.1 ensures that $B'[x]$ is finitely generated over B' .
- By Prop. 2.16 $B'[x]$ is finitely generated over A .
- By Prop. 5.1(iii) x is integral over A , and hence C is integral of A .

The proof is complete. □

Integral Dependence

Corollary (Corollary 5.5)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. Then $B * A$ is integrally closed in B .

Proof.

- We have $A \subseteq B * A \subseteq B * (B * A)$.
- Here $B * A$ is integral over A , and $B * (B * A)$ is integral over $B * A$.
- By Corollary 5.4 $B * (B * A)$ is integral over A .
- This means that if $x \in B$ is integral over $B * A$, then x is integral over A , i.e., $x \in B * A$.
- That is, $B * A$ is integrally closed in B .

The proof is complete. □

Integral Dependence

Fact

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. Let \mathfrak{b} an ideal of B with canonical homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{b}$. Set $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b} \cap A$. Then f induces an exact sequence of A -modules,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} f(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus,

$$f(A) \simeq A/\mathfrak{a}$$

Proposition (Proposition 5.6)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings such that B is integral over A .

- (i) If \mathfrak{b} is an ideal of B and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}^c = \mathfrak{b} \cap A$, then B/\mathfrak{b} is integral over A/\mathfrak{a} .
- (ii) Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of A . Then $S^{-1}B$ is integral over $S^{-1}A$.

Integral Dependence

Proof of Proposition 5.6.

- Let $x \in B$. As x is integral over A ,

$$x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0 \quad a_i \in A.$$

- Let \bar{x} be the image of x in B/\mathfrak{b} . Then:

$$\bar{x}^n + \bar{a}_1 \cdot \bar{x}^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_n = 0.$$

Thus, \bar{x} is integral over $A/(A \cap \mathfrak{b}) = A/\mathfrak{a}$ (which is identified with the image of A in B/\mathfrak{b}).

- Let $s \in S$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (x/s)^n + (a_1/s)(x/s)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n/s^n \\ = (x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n)/s^n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, x/s is integral over $S^{-1}A$.

This gives the result. □

The Going-Up Theorem

Reminder (Integral domains; see Chapter 1)

A ring A is called an *integral domain* if

$$xy = 0 \implies x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0.$$

Proposition (Proposition 5.7)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains such that B is integral over A .
Then

$$B \text{ is a field} \iff A \text{ is a field.}$$

The Going-Up Theorem

Proof of Proposition 5.7.

- Suppose that A is a field. Let $y \in B$, $y \neq 0$. Then:

$$y^n + a_1y^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in A.$$

- We may assume the above equation to have minimal degree.
- In this case $a_n \neq 0$. Otherwise we would have

$$0 = y(y^{n-1} + a_1y^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1}),$$

and hence $y^{n-1} + a_1y^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1} = 0$, since B is an integral domain. This would contradict the minimality of n .

- As $a_n \neq 0$, it is invertible in A , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= a_n^{-1}a_n = -a_n^{-1}(y^n + a_1y^{n-1} + \cdots + a_{n-1}y) \\ &= -a_n^{-1}(y^{n-1} + a_1y^{n-2} + \cdots + a_{n-1})y, \end{aligned}$$

and hence y is invertible in B . Thus, B is a field.



The Going-Up Theorem

Proof of Proposition 5.7; Continued.

- Suppose that B is a field. Let $x \in A$, $x \neq 0$.
- $x^{-1} \in B$ is integral over A , i.e.,

$$x^{-m} + a'_1 x^{-m+1} + \cdots + a'_m = 0, \quad a'_i \in A.$$

- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1} &= x^{m-1} x^{-m} = -x^{m-1} (a'_1 x^{-m+1} + \cdots + a'_m) \\ &= -(a'_1 + \cdots + a'_m x^{m-1}) \in A. \end{aligned}$$

- It follows that A is a field.

The proof is complete. □

The Going-Up Theorem

Reminder (Prime and maximal ideals; see Chapter 1)

Let \mathfrak{p} be an ideal of a ring A . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime} &\iff A/\mathfrak{p} \text{ is an integral domain,} \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ is maximal} &\iff A/\mathfrak{p} \text{ is a field,}\end{aligned}$$

Remark (Contractions of ideals; see Chapter 1)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. The inclusion of A into B is a ring homomorphism. Thus, if \mathfrak{b} is an ideal of B , then its contraction in A is $\mathfrak{b}^c = \mathfrak{b} \cap A$.

The Going-Up Theorem

Corollary (Corollary 5.8)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings such that B is integral over A . Let \mathfrak{q} be a prime ideal of B and set $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}^c = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$. Then

\mathfrak{q} is maximal $\iff \mathfrak{p}$ is maximal.

Proof.

- By Proposition 5.6 B/\mathfrak{q} is integral over A/\mathfrak{p} .
- Here \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \cap A$ are prime ideals, so B/\mathfrak{q} and A/\mathfrak{p} are integral domains.
- By Corollary 5.7 B/\mathfrak{q} is a field if and only if A/\mathfrak{p} is a field.
- That is, \mathfrak{q} is maximal if and only if \mathfrak{p} is maximal.

The result is proved. □

The Going-Up Theorem

Reminder (Rings of fractions; Corollary 3.4 and Proposition 3.11)

Let S be a multiplicatively closed subset of a ring A .

- If \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are ideals of A , then $S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}) \cap S^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$.
- There is a one-to-correspondence ($\mathfrak{p} \leftrightarrow S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$) between the prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ and the prime ideals of A that don't meet S .
- In particular, if \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}' are prime ideals of A that don't meet S , then $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}' \Rightarrow \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'$.
- If $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of A , then $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ is the maximal ideal of the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}} = S^{-1}A$.

Corollary (Corollary 5.9)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings such that B is integral over A . Let \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}' be prime ideals of B such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}'$ and $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{q}' \cap A$. Then $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}'$.

The Going-Up Theorem

Proof of Corollary 5.9.

- By Proposition 5.6 B_p is integral over A_p .
- Let \mathfrak{m} be the extension of \mathfrak{p} in A_p . This is the unique maximal ideal of the local ring A_p .
- Let \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}' be the extensions of \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}' in B_p . Then $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}'$.
- $\mathfrak{n} \cap A_p$ is the extension of $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$ in A_p , and hence is equal to \mathfrak{m} .
- In particular $\mathfrak{n} \cap A_p$ is maximal, and hence \mathfrak{n} is maximal by Corollary 5.8.
- Likewise \mathfrak{n}' is maximal. As $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}'$ it follows that $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}'$.
- By Proposition 3.11 the contractions in A of \mathfrak{n} and \mathfrak{n}' are \mathfrak{q} and \mathfrak{q}' , respectively, so we see that $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{q}'$.

The proof is complete. □

The Going-Up Theorem

Theorem (Theorem 5.10)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings such that B is integral over A . Then, for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of B such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \mathfrak{p}$.

The Going-Up Theorem

Proof of Theorem 5.10.

- By Proposition 5.6 B_p is integral over A_p .
- We also have a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xhookrightarrow{\iota} & B \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta \\ A_p & \xhookrightarrow{\iota_p} & B_p \end{array}$$

- Let \mathfrak{n} be a maximal ideal of B_p . By Proposition 5.8 $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{n} \cap A_p$ is maximal.
- Thus, $\mathfrak{m} = \alpha(\mathfrak{p})$, since $\alpha(\mathfrak{p})$ is the unique maximal ideal of A_p . Hence $\mathfrak{p} = \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$.
- Set $\mathfrak{q} = \beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{n})$. This is a prime ideal. As the diagram above is commutative, we have

$$\mathfrak{q} \cap A = \iota^{-1}(\beta^{-1}(\mathfrak{n})) = \alpha^{-1}(\iota_p^{-1}(\mathfrak{n})) = \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{n} \cap A_p) = \alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

This proves the result. □

The Going-Up Theorem

Theorem (Going-Up Theorem; Theorem 5.11)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings such that B is integral over A . Suppose we are given the following:

- A chain $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$ of prime ideals of A .
- A chain $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$ of prime ideals of B with $m < n$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Then the latter chain extends to a chain $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$ of ideals of B such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The Going-Up Theorem

Proof of Theorem 5.11.

- We may assume $m = 1$. By induction we may further assume that $n = m + 1 = 2$.
- Set $\overline{A} = A/\mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\overline{B} = B/\mathfrak{q}_1$. Then $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{B}$, and \overline{B} is integral over \overline{A} by Proposition 5.6.
- Let $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_2$ be the image of \mathfrak{p}_2 in \overline{A} . As $\mathfrak{p}_2 \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_1$ this is a prime ideal of \overline{A} by Proposition 1.1*.
- By Theorem 5.10 there is a prime ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_2$ of \overline{B} such that $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_2 \cap \overline{A} = \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_2$.
- Let \mathfrak{q}_2 be the contraction of $\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_2$ in B . This is a prime ideal of B containing \mathfrak{q}_1 by Proposition 1.1*.
- Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{q}_2 \cap A = (\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_2)^c \cap \overline{A}^c = (\overline{\mathfrak{q}}_2 \cap \overline{A})^c = (\overline{\mathfrak{p}}_2)^c = \mathfrak{p}_2.$$

Thus \mathfrak{q}_2 has the required properties.

The proof is complete.



Reminder (Fraction Field; slides on Chapter 3)

If A is an integral domain, its *field of fraction*, denoted $\text{Frac}(A)$, is $S^{-1}A$ with $S = A \setminus 0$.

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Facts

Let A be a integral domain and let $S \subset A \setminus 0$ be multiplicatively closed. Set $K = \text{Frac}(A)$.

- (i) $S^{-1}A$ is a subring of K , and hence is an integral domain.
- (ii) The natural homomorphism $A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$, $a \rightarrow a/1$, is injective.
- (iii) $\text{Frac}(S^{-1}A) = K$.

Proof.

- (i) The homomorphism $S^{-1}A \ni a/s \rightarrow a/s \in K$ is injective.
- (ii) Follows from (i). It actually holds for any arbitrary ring provided S does not contain any zero-divisor.
- (iii) The homomorphism $\text{Frac}(S^{-1}A) \ni (a/s)/(b/t) \rightarrow (at)/(bs) \in K$ is injective and surjective, and hence is an isomorphism. □

Proposition (Proposition 5.12)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings, A integral domain, and let $S \subseteq A \setminus 0$ be multiplicatively closed. Then $S^{-1}(B * A)$ is the integral closure of $S^{-1}A$ in $S^{-1}B$, i.e.,

$$(S^{-1}B) * (S^{-1}A) = S^{-1}(B * A).$$

Remarks

- 1 This result holds for arbitrary rings provided S does not contain any zero-divisor.
- 2 In Atiyah-MacDonald's book this assumption on S is missing. The result fails without it.

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Proof of Proposition 5.12.

- As $B * A$ is integral over A , Proposition 5.6(ii) ensures that $S^{-1}(B * A) \subseteq (S^{-1}B) * (S^{-1}A)$.
- Let $b/s \in (S^{-1}B) * (S^{-1}A)$ with $b \in B$ and $s \in S$. Then:
$$(b/s)^n + (a_1/s_1)(b/s)^{n-1} + \cdots + (a_n/s_n) = 0, \quad a_i \in A, s_i \in S.$$
- Set $t = s_1 \cdots s_n$. Multiplying by $(st)^n$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (bt)^n/1 + a_1s(t/s_1)(bt)^{n-1} + \cdots + a_ns^n t^{n-1}(t/s_n) \\ &= ((bt)^n + a'_1(bt)^{n-1} + \cdots + a'_n)/1, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set $a'_i = a_i s^i t^{i-1} s_1 \cdots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \cdots s_n \in A$.

- As the homomorphism $a \rightarrow a/1$ is injective, this gives $(bt)^n + a'_1(bt)^{n-1} + \cdots + a'_n = 0$, and hence $bt \in B * A$.
- Thus $b/s = (bt)/(ts) \in S^{-1}(B * A)$, and hence $(S^{-1}B) * (S^{-1}A) \subseteq S^{-1}(B * A)$.

This proves the result.



Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Definition

A say that an integral domain A is *integrally closed* when it is integrally closed in its fraction ring $\text{Frac}(A)$.

Example

The ring $A = \mathbb{Z}$ is an integral domain with fraction field \mathbb{Q} and it is integrally closed in \mathbb{Q} (see slide 3). Thus, \mathbb{Z} is an integrally closed integral domain.

More generally, any principal domain with the unique factorization property is integrally closed. In particular, we have:

Example

Any polynomial ring $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ over a field k is integrally closed.

Reminder (Surjectivity is a local property; Proposition 3.9)

Let $\phi : M \rightarrow N$ be an A -module homomorphism between A -modules. Then TFAE:

- ① ϕ is surjective.
- ② $\phi_{\mathfrak{p}} : M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is surjective for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A .
- ③ $\phi_{\mathfrak{m}} : M_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is surjective for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A .

Integral closedness is a local property:

Proposition (Proposition 5.13)

Let A be an integral domain. Then TFAE:

- (i) A is integrally closed.
- (ii) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is integrally closed for every prime ideal \mathfrak{p} .
- (iii) $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is integrally closed for every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} .

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Proof of Proposition 5.13.

- Set $K = \text{Frac}(A)$. Let $f : A \rightarrow K * A$ be the inclusion. Then:

$$(A \text{ integrally closed}) \iff A = K * A \iff (f \text{ surjective}).$$

- By the facts on Slide 30 $\text{Frac}(A_{\mathfrak{p}}) = K$.
- If \mathfrak{p} is prime, then by Proposition 5.12
 $K * A_{\mathfrak{p}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}} * A_{\mathfrak{p}} = (K * A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- Under these equalities the inclusion of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ into $K * A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is
 $f_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow (K * A)_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- Thus,

$$(A_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is integrally closed}) \iff (f_{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ is surjective}).$$

- Combining this with Proposition 3.9 gives the result.

The proof is complete. □

Definition

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A .

- An element $x \in B$ is said to be integral over \mathfrak{a} if it is solution of monic equation with coefficients in \mathfrak{a} , i.e.,

$$x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

- The set of all such elements is called the *integral closure of \mathfrak{a} in B* and is denoted $B * \mathfrak{a}$ (Gaillard's notation).

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Remark (Contractions of ideals; see Chapter 1)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings. The inclusion of A into B is a ring homomorphism. Therefore:

- If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of A , then its extension in B is $\mathfrak{a}^e = B\mathfrak{a}$, i.e., it consists all finite sums $\sum b_i a_i$ with $b_i \in B$ and $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$.
- If \mathfrak{b} is an ideal of B , then its contraction in A is $\mathfrak{b}^c = \mathfrak{b} \cap A$.

Lemma (Lemma 5.14)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be rings and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of A . Then the integral closure of \mathfrak{a} in B is the radical of its extension in $B * A$. That is,

$$B * \mathfrak{a} = r((B * A)\mathfrak{a}).$$

In particular, $B * \mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of B .

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Proof of Lemma 5.14.

- If $x \in B * \mathfrak{a}$, then $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, $x \in B * A$.
- Thus, $x^n = -(a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n) \in (B * A)\mathfrak{a}$, and hence $x \in r((B * A)\mathfrak{a})$.
- Conversely, let $x \in r((B * A)\mathfrak{a})$. Then $x^n = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i x_i$ with $a_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $x_i \in B * A$.
- By Proposition 5.2 $M = A[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ is a finitely generated A -module. Moreover $x^n M \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$.
- Let $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ be the multiplication by x^n . As $\phi(M) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}M$, by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem (Proposition 2.4),
$$\phi^p + a_1\phi^{p-1} + \cdots + a_p = 0, \quad a_i \in \mathfrak{a}.$$
- Evaluating at 1 gives $x^{pn} + a_1x^{n(p-1)} + \cdots + a_n = 0$, and hence $x \in B * \mathfrak{a}$.

This proves the result.



Proposition (Proposition 5.15)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains such that A is integrally closed. Let $x \in B$ be integral over an ideal \mathfrak{a} of A . Then:

- ① x is algebraic over the fraction field $K = \text{Frac}(A)$.
- ② Let $\mu(t) = t^n + a_1 t^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$ be the minimal polynomial of x over K . Then all the coefficients a_1, \dots, a_n lie in $r(\mathfrak{a})$.

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Reminder (Contracted ideals; see Proposition 1.17(iii))

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism.

- An ideal \mathfrak{a} of A is the contraction of an ideal of B if and only if $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}$.
- In particular, if $A \subseteq B$ and f is the inclusion map, then the above condition amounts to

$$(B\mathfrak{a}) \cap A = \mathfrak{a}.$$

Reminder (Corollary 3.13)

Let A be a ring and \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal. Then we have a one-to-one correspondence between prime ideals of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and prime ideals of A contained in \mathfrak{p} .

Integrally Closed Domains. Going-Down Theorem

Theorem (Going-Down Theorem; Theorem 5.16)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains such that A is integrally closed and B is integral over A , i.e., $K * A = A$ and $B * A = B$, where $K = \text{Frac}(A)$. Assume we are given the following:

- A chain $\mathfrak{p}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_n$ of prime ideals of A .
- A chain $\mathfrak{q}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_m$ of prime ideals of B with $m < n$ such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Then the latter chain extends to a chain $\mathfrak{q}_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathfrak{q}_n$ of ideals of B such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \cap A = \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Valuation Rings

Definition

We say that a ring B is a *valuation ring* of a field K if K contains B as a sub-ring and

$$x \in K \setminus 0 \implies x \in B \text{ or } x^{-1} \in B.$$

Remarks

- 1 Any sub-ring of a field is automatically an integral domain, and so any valuation ring is an integral domain.
- 2 If B is a valuation ring for a field K , then K is the fraction field of B . (An isomorphism from $\text{Frac}(B)$ to K is $x/y \rightarrow xy^{-1}$.)

Valuation Rings

Reminder (Characterization of local rings; see Proposition 1.6(i))

Let A be a ring and \mathfrak{m} a proper ideal that contains all non-units of A . Then \mathfrak{m} is the unique maximal ideal of A , and hence A is a local ring.

Proposition (Proposition 5.18)

Let B a valuation ring in a field K .

- (i) B is a local ring.
- (ii) Any sub-ring of K containing B is a valuation ring of K .
- (iii) B is integrally closed in K .

Proof of Proposition 5.18(i).

- Let \mathfrak{m} be the set of non-units of B . Thus, if $x \in B$, then

$$x \in \mathfrak{m} \iff (x = 0 \text{ or } x^{-1} \notin B).$$

- If $a \in B$ and $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $ax \in \mathfrak{m}$. Otherwise, $(ax)^{-1} \in B$ and $x^{-1} = a(ax)^{-1} \in B$ (not possible).
- Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus 0$. Then $xy^{-1} \in B$ or $yx^{-1} \in B$.
- If $xy^{-1} \in B$, then $x + y = (1 + xy^{-1})y \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- Likewise, if $yx^{-1} \in B$, then $x + y \in \mathfrak{m}$.
- This shows that \mathfrak{m} is an ideal of B .
- As \mathfrak{m} contains all the non-units of B , Proposition 1.6(i) ensures that \mathfrak{m} is the unique maximal ideal of B , and hence B is a local ring. □

Proof of Proposition 5.18(iii).

- Let $x \in K * B$. Then:

$$x^n + b_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n = 0, \quad b_i \in B.$$

- Suppose that $x \notin B$. Then $x^{-1} \in B$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x^{-n+1}x^n = -x^{-n+1}(b_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + b_n) \\ &= -b_1 - b_2x^{-1} - \cdots - b_n(x^{-1})^{n-1} \in B. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, so $x \in B$, and hence $K * B = B$.

- That is, B is integrally closed in K .

The proof is complete. □

Facts

Let K be a field and Ω an algebraically closed field.

- Define Σ to be the set of pairs (A, f) , where A is a sub-ring of K and $f : A \rightarrow \Omega$ is a ring homomorphism.
- Σ is a partially ordered set:

$$(A, f) \leq (A', f') \iff A \subseteq A' \text{ and } f'|_A = f.$$

- By Zorn's lemma Σ admits a maximal element.

Valuation Rings

Theorem (Theorem 5.21; see Atiyah-MacDonald)

If (B, g) is a maximal element of Σ , then the ring B is a valuation ring of K .

Corollary

Let A be a sub-ring of a field K and $f : A \rightarrow \Omega$ a ring homomorphism, where Ω is an algebraically closed field. Then f can be extended to a ring homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow \Omega$, where B is a valuation ring B for K .

Valuation Rings

Corollary (Corollary 5.22)

*Let A be a sub-ring of a field K . Then the integral closure $K * A$ is the intersections of all the valuation rings of K that contain A .*

Proof.

- If $B \supseteq A$ is a valuation ring for K , then by Proposition 5.18 B is integrally closed in K , and hence $B = K * B \supseteq K * A$.
- Conversely, let $x \notin K * A$. Then x is not in the ring $A' = A[x^{-1}]$. Otherwise $x = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^{-n}$, $a_i \in A$. Thus,

$$x^{n+1} - (a_0 x^n + \cdots + a_n) = 0,$$

and hence $x \in K * A$ (not possible).

- In particular, x^{-1} is a unit of A' , and so there is a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}' of A' containing x^{-1} .



Proof of Corollary 5.22; Continued.

- Let Ω be the algebraic closure of the field $k' = A'/\mathfrak{m}'$ and $f : A' \rightarrow k' \subseteq \Omega$ the canonical homomorphism.
- By Theorem 5.21 f can be extended to a ring homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow \Omega$, where B is a valuation ring of K .
- Here $x^{-1} \in A' \subseteq B$. If $x \in B$, then x^{-1} is a unit of B , and so $g(x^{-1})$ is a unit of Ω , i.e., $g(x^{-1}) \neq 0$.
- However, as $x^{-1} \in \mathfrak{m}' \subseteq A'$, we have $g(x^{-1}) = f(x^{-1}) = 0$ (contradiction). Thus, $x \notin B$.
- By contraposition, if x is contained in all the valuation rings containing A , then $x \in K * A$.

The proof is complete. □

Proposition (Proposition 5.23)

Let $A \subseteq B$ be integral domains such that B is finitely generated over A . Let $v \in B \setminus 0$. Then there is $u \in A \setminus 0$ with the following property: any homomorphism f of A into an algebraically closed field Ω such that $f(u) \neq 0$ extends to a homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $g(v) \neq 0$.

Corollary (Corollary 5.24)

Let k be a field and B a finitely generated k -algebra. If B is a field, then it is a finite algebraic extension of k .

Remark

Let Ω be the algebraic closure of k .

- A field L/k is an algebraic extension if and only if L embeds into Ω .
- A homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow \Omega$ is injective if and only if $g(1) \neq 0$ (since $x \neq 0$ and $g(x) = 0$ implies $g(1) = g(x)g(x^{-1}) = 0$).
- Thus, L/k is an algebraic extension if and only if there is a homomorphism $g : L \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $g(1) \neq 0$.

Proof of Corollary 5.24.

- Apply Proposition 5.23 to $v = 1$ and the inclusion $f : k \hookrightarrow \Omega$.
- We get a homomorphism $g : B \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $g(1) \neq 0$.
- By the previous remark B/k is an algebraic extension.

The result is proved. □

Corollary (Weak Nullstellensatz; Corollary 7.10)

Let k be a field, A a finitely generated k -algebra, and \mathfrak{m} a maximal ideal of A . Then the field A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite algebraic extension of k . In particular, if k is algebraically closed, then $A/\mathfrak{m} \simeq k$.

Proof.

- As A is a finitely generated k -algebra, so is A/\mathfrak{m} .
- Corollary 5.24 then ensures that A/\mathfrak{m} is a finite algebraic extension of k .

The result is proved. □