Commutative Algebra
Chapter 1: Rings and Ideals

Sichuan University, Fall 2021

Definition (Rings)

A ring A is a set with an addition and a multiplication so that:

1. A is an Abelian group w.r.t. its addition, and so
   - A has a zero element, denoted 0.
   - Every $x \in A$ has an additive inverse $-x$.
2. Multiplication is associative and distributive over addition,

$$(xy)z = x(yz),$$
$$x(y+z) = xy + xz, \qquad (x+y)z = xz + yz.$$

Remarks

1. 0 is absorbant, i.e., $0x = x0 = 0$ for all $x \in A$.
2. $x(y-z) = xy - xz$ and $(x-y)z = xz - yz$.

Rings and Ring Homomorphisms

Definition

1. A ring A is commutative when

$$xy = yx \qquad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

2. An identity element $1 \in A$ is such that

$$1x = x1 = x \qquad \text{for all } x \in A.$$

Such an element is unique.

Remark

By a ring we shall always mean a commutative ring with an identity element.

Remark

If $1 = 0$, then $x = 1x = 0x = 0$, and so 0 is the unique element. We called this ring the zero ring and denote it by 0.

Rings and Ring Homomorphisms

Definition (Ring Homomorphisms)

Given rings A and B, a ring homomorphim $f : A \to B$ is a map such that

1. $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$.
2. $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$.
3. $f(1) = 1$.

Remark

The property (i) implies that

$$f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y), \qquad f(-x) = -f(x), \qquad f(0) = 0.$$

Remark

If $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$ are ring homomorphisms, then the composition $g \circ f : A \to C$ is a ring homomorphism as well.

Definition (Subrings)

A subring of a ring A is a subset S that is closed under addition and multiplication and contains the unit. That is,

$$x, y \in S \implies x + y \in S \text{ and } xy \in S,$$
$$1 \in S.$$

Remarks

1. Any subring is a ring.
2. If S is a subring of a ring A, then the inclusion map of S into A is a ring homomorphism.

Ideals. Quotient Rings

Definition (Ideals)

An ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of a ring A is an additive subgroup such that $A\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}$. That is,

$$x, y \in \mathfrak{a} \implies x + y \in \mathfrak{a},$$
$$x \in A \text{ and } y \in \mathfrak{a} \implies xy \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Observation

The multiplication of A uniquely descends to a multiplication on the quotient $A/\mathfrak{a}$, with respect to which $A/\mathfrak{a}$ is a ring.

Remarks

1. $A/\mathfrak{a}$ is called a quotient ring. Its elements $x + \mathfrak{a}$ are called cosets (of $\mathfrak{a}$ in A).
2. The canonical map $\phi : A \to A/\mathfrak{a}$ is a surjective ring homomorphism.

Proposition (Proposition 1.1)

We have an order-preserving one-to-one correspondence,

$$\{\textit{Ideals of } A \textit{ containing } \mathfrak{a}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\textit{Ideals of } A/\mathfrak{a}\},$$
$$\mathfrak{b} = \phi^{-1}\left(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}\right) \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{b}} = \phi(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Remark

This result is used implicitly throughout Atiyah-MacDonald's book.

Proof of Proposition 1.1.

- Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be an ideal of A. Let $\overline{x} = \phi(x)$ with $x \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $\overline{y} = \phi(y) \in A/\mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\overline{xy} = \phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(xy) \in \phi(\mathfrak{b}).$$

  Thus, $\phi(\mathfrak{b})$ is an ideal of $A/\mathfrak{a}$.

- Let $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ be an ideal of $A/\mathfrak{a}$. Then:
  - $\phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \supseteq \phi^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{a}$.
  - If $x \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$ and $y \in A$, then $xy \in \phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$, since $\phi(x) \in \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$, and hence $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y) \in \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$

  Thus, $\phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$ is an ideal containing $\mathfrak{a}$.

- $\mathfrak{b} \to \phi(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{b}} \to \phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})$ are inverses of each other:
  - If $\overline{\mathfrak{b}}$ is an ideal of $A/\mathfrak{a}$, then $\phi(\phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}})) = \overline{\mathfrak{b}}$, since ϕ is onto.
  - If $\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal containing $\mathfrak{a}$, then $\phi^{-1}(\phi(\mathfrak{b})) = \mathfrak{b}$, since

$$x \in \phi^{-1}(\phi(\mathfrak{b})) \iff \phi(x) \in \phi(\mathfrak{b}) \iff x \in \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a} \iff x \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

Therefore, we have a bijection (one-to-one correspondence) between ideals of A containing $\mathfrak{a}$ and ideals of $A/\mathfrak{a}$. □

Ideals. Quotient Rings

Facts

Let $f : A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism. Then

1. The kernel $f^{-1}(0)$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$.
2. The image $f(A)$ is a subring of B.
3. f induces a ring isomorphism $A/f^{-1}(0) \simeq f(A)$.

Remark

The notation $x \equiv y \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ means that $x - y \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Zero Divisors. Nilpotent Elements. Units

Definition (Zero-Divisors, Integral Domains)

- A zero-divisor of a ring A is any element x that divides 0, i.e., there is $y \neq 0$ such that $xy = 0$.
- A (non-zero) ring with no non-zero divisors is called an *integral domain*. That is, we have

$$x \neq 0 \text{ and } xy = 0 \implies y = 0.$$

Examples

The following rings are integral domains:

- The ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}$.
- Any polynomial rings $k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, where k is a field.

Zero Divisors. Nilpotent Elements. Units

Definition (Nilpotent Elements)

An element $x \in A$ is nilpotent when $x^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$.

Remark

Any nilpotent element is a zero-divisor (unless $A = 0$). The converse is not true in general.

Zero Divisors. Nilpotent Elements. Units

Definition (Units)

- A unit of A is any element x that divides 1, i.e., there is $y \in A$ such that $xy = 1$.
- In this case y is unique and is denoted x^{-1}.

Fact

The set of units of A is a (multiplicative) Abelian group.

Definition (Principal Ideals)

A *principal ideal* is any ideal generated by a single element, i.e., it is of the form Ax for some $x \in A$.

Remarks

1. We shall also denote Ax by (x). It consists of all multiples ax, $a \in A$.
2. x is a unit if and only if $(x) = A = (1)$.
3. The zero ideal (0) is denoted 0.

Definition (Field)

A field is a ring A in which $1 \neq 0$ and every $x \neq 0$ is a unit.

Remark

Every field is an integral domain. The converse is not true (e.g., $\mathbb{Z}$).

Proposition (Proposition 1.2)

Let A be a non-zero ring. TFAE:

(i) *A is a field.*

(ii) *The only ideals in A are 0 and A.*

(iii) *Every ring homomorphism of A into a non-zero ring is one-to-one.*

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Definition (Prime Ideals)

An ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq A$ is prime when $xy \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $y \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Fact

$$\mathfrak{p} \text{ is prime} \iff A/\mathfrak{p} \text{ is an integral domain.}$$

Definition (Maximal Ideal)

An ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq A$ is maximal when there is no ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq \mathfrak{a} \subsetneq A$.

Fact (Proposition 1.1 + Proposition 1.2)

$$\mathfrak{m} \text{ is maximal} \iff A/\mathfrak{p} \text{ is a field.}$$

In particular, any maximal ideal is prime.

Remark

The zero ideal is prime if and only if A is an integral domain.

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Fact

Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism and $\mathfrak{q}$ a prime ideal. Then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal in A.

Proof.

We have

$$xy \in f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \iff f(xy) \in \mathfrak{q} \iff f(x)f(y) \in \mathfrak{q}.$$

As $\mathfrak{q}$ is prime, $f(x)$ or $f(y)$ is in $\mathfrak{q}$, i.e., x or y is in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$, and hence $\mathfrak{q}$ is prime. □

Remark

If $\mathfrak{n}$ is a maximal ideal in A, then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{n})$ is prime, but it need not be maximal (e.g., $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $B = \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{n} = 0$).

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Theorem (Theorem 1.3; see Atiyah-MacDonald + Carlson)

Every ring $A \neq 0$ admits a maximal ideal.

Corollary (Corollary 1.4)

For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subsetneq A$, there is a maximal ideal that contains $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof.

Apply Theorem 1.3 to $A/\mathfrak{a}$ and use Proposition 1.1. □

Corollary (Corollary 1.5)

Every non-unit of A is contained in a maximal ideal.

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Remark

There are rings with exactly one maximal ideal, e.g., fields (in which 0 is the unique maximal ideal).

Definition

1. A ring with exactly one maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is called a local ring.
2. The field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$ is called the residual field of A.

Proposition (Proposition 1.6)

(i) *Let $\mathfrak{m} \subsetneq A$ be an ideal such that any $x \in A \setminus \mathfrak{m}$ is a unit. Then A is local ring and has $\mathfrak{m}$ is its unique maximal ideal.*

(ii) *Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal such that every element of $1 + \mathfrak{m}$ is a unit. Then A is a local ring.*

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Example

Let $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, k field. If $f \in A$ is irreducible, then the ideal (f) is prime.

Example

Let $A = \mathbb{Z}$. Then

1. Every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ is a principal ideal (m) for some $m \geq 0$.
2. (m) is a prime ideal if and only if $m = 0$ or is a prime number.
3. All the ideals (p) with p prime are maximal, since $\mathbb{Z}/(p) = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a field.
4. The same holds for $A = k[x_1]$, but not for $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with $n \geq 2$.

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Example

Every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ is a principal ideal (m) for some $m \geq 0$.

Proof.

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a non-zero ideal.

- Let m be the smallest positive element of $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $(m) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.
- Let $y \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus 0$. Assume $y >$ and $y = qm + r$ with $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$.
- Assume $r \neq 0$. Then $0 < r < m$, and so $r \notin \mathfrak{a}$,
- However, $r = y - qm \in \mathfrak{a} + (m) = \mathfrak{a}$ (contradiction).
- Thus, $r = 0$, and so $y = qm \in (m)$.
- It follows that $\mathfrak{a} = (m)$.

The proof is complete. □

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Example

(m) is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $m = 0$ or is a prime number.

Proof.

- Assume $m \geq 1$. If $x \neq 0$, then

$$x \in (m) \iff x \in m\mathbb{Z} \iff m \text{ divides } x.$$

- If m is a prime number, then (m) is a prime ideal, since

$$\begin{aligned} xy \in (m) &\iff m \text{ divides } xy \\ &\iff m \text{ divides } x \text{ or } y \\ &\iff x \in (m) \text{ or } y \in (m). \end{aligned}$$

- Suppose that m is not a prime number.
  - There are integers $x, y \geq 2$ such that $xy = m \in (m)$.
  - m does not divide x or y, and so $x, y \notin (m)$.
  - Thus, (m) is not a prime ideal.

This proves the result. □

Example (Principal Ideal Domain)

A *principal ideal domain* is an integral domain in which every ideal is principal (e.g., $\mathbb{Z}$, $k[x_1]$). In such an ideal every non-zero prime ideal is maximal.

Prime Ideals and Maximal Ideals

Reminder

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal and $\phi : A \to A/\mathfrak{a}$ the canonical homomorphism. By Proposition 1.1 we have an order-preserving one-to-one corrrespondence between ideals of A containg $\mathfrak{a}$ and ideals of $A/\mathfrak{a}$,

$$\mathfrak{b} = \phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{b}}) \longleftrightarrow \overline{\mathfrak{b}} = \phi(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Proposition (Proposition 1.1*)

This correspondence induces one-to-correspondences:

$$\{\textit{Maximal ideals of } A \textit{ containing } \mathfrak{a}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\textit{Maximal ideals of } A/\mathfrak{a}\},$$
$$\{\textit{Prime ideals of } A \textit{ containing } \mathfrak{a}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\textit{Prime ideals of } A/\mathfrak{a}\},$$

Remark

The above result is not stated explicitly in Atiyah-MacDonald's book. However, it is used throughout the book.

Proof of Proposition 1.1*.

- We have a correspondence between maximal ideals, because the correspondence between ideals is order-preserving.
- Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an ideal containing $\mathfrak{a}$. Then

$$\phi(x) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \Longleftrightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} \Longleftrightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p},$$
$$\phi(x)\phi(y) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \Longleftrightarrow \phi(xy) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \Longleftrightarrow xy \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

- If $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, then $\phi(\mathfrak{p})$ is prime, since

$$\phi(x)\phi(y) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \Longleftrightarrow xy \in \mathfrak{p} \Longleftrightarrow (x \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } y \in \mathfrak{p})$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow (\phi(x) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ or } \phi(y) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}))\,.$$

- Conversely, if $\phi(\mathfrak{p})$ is prime, then $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, since

$$xy \in \mathfrak{p} \Longleftrightarrow \phi(x)\phi(y) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \Longleftrightarrow (\phi(x) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}) \text{ or } \phi(y) \in \phi(\mathfrak{p}))$$
$$\Longleftrightarrow (x \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ or } y \in \mathfrak{p})\,.$$

- This gives the correspondence between prime ideals.

The result is proved. □

Niradical and Jacobson Radical

Proposition (Proposition 1.7)

Let $\mathfrak{N}$ be the set of all nilpotent elements of A. Then:

1. *$\mathfrak{N}$ is an ideal*
2. *The quotient ring $A/\mathfrak{N}$ has no non-zero nilpotent elements.*

Definition

The ideal $\mathfrak{N}$ is called the nilradical of A.

Niradical and Jacobson Radical

Proposition (Proposition 1.8; see Atiyah-MacDonald)

The nilradical $\mathfrak{N}$ is the intersection of all the prime ideals of A.

Sketch of Proof.

Let $\mathfrak{N}'$ be the intersections of all prime ideals.

- Let $f \in \mathfrak{N}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal. Then $f^n = 0 \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some n.
  - Let n_0 be the smallest integer such that $f^{n_0} \in \mathfrak{p}$.
  - If $n_0 \geq 2$. Then $f^{n_0-1} \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$, but $f^{n_0-1} f = f^{n_0} \in \mathfrak{p}$.
  - As $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, this implies that $f^{n_0-1} \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ (contradiction).
  - Thus, $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, and hence $f \in \mathfrak{N}'$.

  This shows that $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}'$.
- Let $f \notin \mathfrak{N}$. Let Σ be the set of ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ s.t. $f^n \notin \mathfrak{a}\ \forall n \geq 1$.
  - By Zorn's lemma Σ has a maximal element $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$.
  - It can be shown that $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal, and so $f \notin \mathfrak{N}'$.

  This shows that $A \setminus \mathfrak{N} \subseteq A \setminus \mathfrak{N}'$, and hence $\mathfrak{N}' \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$.

This gives the result. □

Niradical and Jacobson Radical

Definition

The Jacobson radical $\mathfrak{R}$ of A is the intersection of all its maximal ideals.

Niradical and Jacobson Radical

Proposition (Proposition 1.9)

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{x \in A;\ 1 - xy \text{ is a unit for all } y \in A\}.$$

Proof.

- Let $x \in \mathfrak{R}$. If $y \in A$ is s.t. $1 - xy$ is not a unit, then:
  - By Corollary 1.5 there is a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \ni 1 - xy$
  - As $x \in \mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$, and hence $xy \in \mathfrak{m}$, we see that $1 = (1 - xy) + xy \in \mathfrak{m}$, which is impossible ($\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal).

  Therefore $1 - xy$ is a unit for all $y \in A$.
- Let $x \notin \mathfrak{R}$, i.e., $x \notin \mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then:
  - As $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal, the ideal generated by x and $\mathfrak{m}$ is A.
  - Thus, there are $u \in \mathfrak{m}$ and $y \in A$ such that $u + xy = 1$.
  - Therefore $1 - xy = u \in \mathfrak{m}$ is not a unit.

  By contraposition, if $1 - xy$ is a unit for all y, then $x \in \mathfrak{N}$.

This proves the result. □

Operations on Ideals

Definition (Sum of Ideals)

If $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are ideals in a ring A, their sum $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is the set all sums $x + y$ with $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{b}$.

Fact

$\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is the smallest ideal that contains $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$.

Definition (Sum of Ideals)

Given a (possibly infinite) family $\{\mathfrak{a}_i\}_{i \in I}$ of ideals of A, the sum $\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i$ consists of all finite sums $\sum x_i$ with $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}_i$.

Fact

$\sum_{i \in I} \mathfrak{a}_i$ is the smallest ideal that contains the $\mathfrak{a}_i$'s.

Operations on Ideals

Fact

The intersection of any family of ideals $(\mathfrak{a}_i)_{i \in I}$ is an ideal.

Consequence

The ideals of A form a complete lattice with respect to inclusion, i.e., every subset has a supremum and an infimum.

Operations on Ideals

Definition (Product of Ideals)

The product of two ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ in A consists of all finite sums $\sum x_i y_i$ with $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $y_i \in \mathfrak{b}$.

Remark

1. We similarly define the product of any finite family of ideals.
2. In particular, the power $\mathfrak{a}^n$, $n \geq 1$, of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by products $x_1 \cdots x_n$ with $x_j \in \mathfrak{a}$.
3. By convention $\mathfrak{a}^0 = (1) = A$.

Operations on Ideals

Example

Suppose that $A = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{a} = (m)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (n)$. Then:

1. $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}$ is the ideal generated by $\gcd(m, n)$ (greatest common divisor, a.k.a. highest common factor).
2. $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}$ is the ideal generated by $\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)$ (lowest common multiple).
3. $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = (mn)$.

Example

$A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, $a = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ ideal generated by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$. Then $\mathfrak{a}^m$, $m \geq 1$, consists of all polynomials with no terms of degree $< m$.

Operations on Ideals

Remarks

1. The three operations (sum, intersection, product) are all associative and commutative.
2. We also have the distributive law,

$$\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c}.$$

3. In $\mathbb{Z}$ the laws $\cap$ and $+$ are distributive over each other. This is not true for a general ring. At best we have the modular law,

$$\mathfrak{a} \cap (\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{c} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{b} \text{ or } \mathfrak{a} \supseteq \mathfrak{c}.$$

4. In $\mathbb{Z}$ we have $(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$. In general, we only have

$$(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}.$$

Operations on Ideals

Definition

Two ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are said to be coprime when $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = (1)$. That is, there are $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $y \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that $x + y = 1$.

Fact

We always have the inclusions,

$$(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b})(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

Thus, if $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are coprime, then we have

$$\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}.$$

Operations on Ideals

Definition (Direct Product of Rings)

Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be rings $(n \geq 2)$.

1. The direct product $A := \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ consists of sequences $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in A_i$.
2. We equip it with the component-wise addition and multiplication.

Facts

1. $\prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ is a commutative ring with identity $(1, \ldots, 1)$.
2. The projections $p_i : A \to A_i$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ are ring homomorphisms.

Operations on Ideals

Let A be a ring $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ ideals of A. Define $\phi : A \to \prod_{i=1}^{n}(A/\mathfrak{a}_i)$ by

$$\phi(x) = (x + \mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, x + \mathfrak{a}_n), \qquad x \in A.$$

Fact

ϕ is a ring homomorphism.

Proposition (Proposition 1.10)

The following holds.

1. *If $\mathfrak{a}_i$ and $\mathfrak{a}_j$ are coprime whenever $i \neq j$, then $\prod \mathfrak{a}_i = \cap \mathfrak{a}_i$.*
2. *ϕ is onto if and only if $\mathfrak{a}_i$ and $\mathfrak{a}_j$ are coprime for $i \neq j$.*
3. *ϕ is one-to-one if and only if $\cap \mathfrak{a}_i = 0$.*

Operations on Ideals

Remark

The union $\mathfrak{a} \cup \mathfrak{b}$ need not be an ideal in general.

Proposition (Proposition 1.11)

1. *Assume that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots \mathfrak{p}_n$ are prime ideals and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal contained in $\cup \mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i.*
2. *Assume that $\mathfrak{a}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ are ideals and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal containing $\cap \mathfrak{a}_i$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ for some i. If $\mathfrak{p} = \cap \mathfrak{a}_i$, then $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a}_i$ for some i.*

Remark

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal. By induction it can be shown that

$$x_1 \cdots x_n \in \mathfrak{p} \Longrightarrow x_i \in \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } i.$$

By contraposition we get

$$x_i \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ for all } i \Longrightarrow x_1 \cdots x_n \notin \mathfrak{p}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.11(i).

We show by induction that

$$(*) \qquad \mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i \ (1 \leq i \leq n) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i.$$

By contraposition this will give the result.

- For $n = 1$ the result is immediate.
- Assume $(*)$ is true for $n-1$. Let $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.
- As $(*)$ is true for $n-1$, for each i, we have $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \cup_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{p}_j$, i.e., there is $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ s.t. $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}_j$ for $j \neq i$.
- If $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i, then we are done.
- Suppose that $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all i. Set

$$y = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} y_j, \qquad \text{where } y_j = x_1 \cdots x_{j-1} x_{j+1} \cdots x_n.$$

- As $x_i \in \mathfrak{p}_i$, we see that $y_j = \prod_{k \neq j} x_k \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $j \neq i$.

Proof of Proposition 1.11(i), Continued.

- As $y_j \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $j \neq i$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y &= y_1 + \cdots + y_n \\ &\equiv y_i \mod \mathfrak{p}_i \\ &\equiv x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n \mod \mathfrak{p}_i. \end{aligned}$$

- As $x_j \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $j \neq i$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a prime ideal, we see that $x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$ (see previous remark).
- It follows that $y \notin \mathfrak{p}_i$ for all i, and hence $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \cup_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{p}_i$.

This shows that $(*)$ is true for n. The proof is complete. □

Proof of Proposition 1.11(ii).

- Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Thus, for each i there is $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ s.t. $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$.
- As $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime $x_1 \cdots x_n \notin \mathfrak{p}$ (see previous remark).
- As $x_1 \cdots x_n \in \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \cap \mathfrak{a}_i$, we see that $\mathfrak{p} \not\supseteq \cap \mathfrak{a}_i$.
- By contraposition, we get

$$\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \bigcap \mathfrak{a}_i \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}_i \text{ for some } i.$$

- If $\mathfrak{p} = \cap \mathfrak{a}_j$, then $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \cap \mathfrak{a}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_i$, and hence $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{a}_i$.

The result is proved. □

Operations on Ideals

Definition (Ideal Quotient)

If $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are ideals in a ring A, their ideal quotient is

$$(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) := \{x;\ x\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

Fact

$(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})$ is an ideal.

Definition

$(0 : \mathfrak{b})$ is called the annihilator of $\mathfrak{b}$ and is also denoted by $\mathrm{Ann}(\mathfrak{b})$. It consists of all $x \in A$ such that $x\mathfrak{b} = 0$.

Remarks

1. When $\mathfrak{b}$ is a principal ideal (x) we shall denote $(\mathfrak{a} : (x))$ and $\mathrm{Ann}((x))$ by $(\mathfrak{a} : x)$ and $\mathrm{Ann}(x)$, respectively.
2. $\mathrm{Ann}(x) = \{y \in A; xy = 0\}$.
3. The set of all non-zero divisors in A is $D = \cup_{x \neq 0} \mathrm{Ann}(x)$.

Example

$A = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{a} = (m)$, $\mathfrak{b} = (n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(m : n) &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z};\ xn\mathbb{Z} \subseteq m\mathbb{Z}\}, \\ &= \{x \in \mathbb{Z};\ m \text{ divides } nx\}, \\ &= (q), \qquad \text{where } q = \frac{m}{\gcd(m, n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here q is the greatest positive integer such that m divides qn (see Atiyah-MacDonald).

Operations on Ideals

Exercise (Exercise 1.12; see Carlson)

(i) $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})$.

(ii) $(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

(iii) $((\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}) : \mathfrak{c}) = (\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}) = ((\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{c}) : \mathfrak{b})$.

(iv) $(\cap \mathfrak{a}_i : \mathfrak{b}) = \cap(\mathfrak{a}_i : \mathfrak{b})$.

(v) $(\mathfrak{a} : \sum \mathfrak{b}_i) = \cap(\mathfrak{a} : \mathfrak{b}_i)$.

Operations on Ideals

Definition (Radical of an Ideal)

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of A. Its radical is

$$r(\mathfrak{a}) := \{x \in A;\ x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}.$$

Fact

If $\phi : A \to A/\mathfrak{a}$ is the canonical homomorphism, then $r(\mathfrak{a}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{a}})$, where $\mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}$ is the nilradical of $A/\mathfrak{a}$. In particular, $r(\mathfrak{a})$ is an ideal.

Proof.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in r(\mathfrak{a}) &\iff x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n, \\ &\iff \phi(x)^n = 0 \text{ for some } n, \\ &\iff \phi(x) \in \mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{a}} \iff x \in \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

Operations on Ideals

Exercise (Exercise 1.13; see Carlson)

(i) $r(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$.

(ii) $r(r(\mathfrak{a})) = r(\mathfrak{a})$.

(iii) $r(\mathfrak{ab}) = r(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = r(\mathfrak{a}) \cap r(\mathfrak{b})$.

(iv) $r(\mathfrak{a}) = (1) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{a} = (1)$.

(v) $r(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}) = r(r(\mathfrak{a}) + r(\mathfrak{b}))$.

(vi) If $\mathfrak{p}$ is prime, then $r(\mathfrak{p}^n) = \mathfrak{p}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proposition (Proposition 1.14)

The radical $r(\mathfrak{a})$ is the intersection of the prime ideals that contains $\mathfrak{a}$.

Proof.

Let $\phi : A \to A/\mathfrak{a}$ be the canonical homomorphism.

- We know that
$$r(\mathfrak{a}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}).$$
- By using Proposition 1.8 and Proposition 1.1* we get

$$\begin{aligned} r(\mathfrak{a}) &= \phi^{-1}\left(\bigcap\{\overline{\mathfrak{p}};\ \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ prime ideal of } A/\mathfrak{a}\}\right) \\ &= \bigcap\{\phi^{-1}(\overline{\mathfrak{p}});\ \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \text{ prime ideal of } A/\mathfrak{a}\} \\ &= \bigcap\{\mathfrak{p};\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime ideal of } A \text{ containing } \mathfrak{a}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Operations on Ideals

Remark

Given any subset $E \subseteq A$ we may define its radical $r(E)$ as above. This is not an ideal in general (unless E is an ideal).

Fact

Given any family E_α of subsets of A, we have $r(\cup E_\alpha) = \cup r(E_\alpha)$.

Remark

Let D be the set of zero-divisors of A. We have

$$x \in D \iff xy = 0 \text{ for some } y \neq 0.$$

Thus,

$$\big(x \notin D \text{ and } xy = 0\big) \Longrightarrow y = 0.$$

Operations on Ideals

Proposition (Proposition 1.15)

The set D of zero-divisors of A is equal to $\cup_{x\neq 0} r(\mathrm{Ann}(x))$.

Proof.

- We claim that $r(D) = D$. We know that $D \subseteq r(D)$.
- Let $x \in r(D)$, i.e., $x^n \in D$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let n be the smallest such number.
  - Assume $n \geq 2$. Then $x \notin D$ and $x^{n-1} \notin D$.
  - As $x^n \in D$, there is $y \neq 0$ such that $0 = x^n y = x(x^{n-1}y)$.
  - As $x \notin D$, this implies that $x^{n-1}y = 0$, and so $x^{n-1} \in D$ (contradiction).

  This shows that $x \in D$, and hence $r(D) \subseteq D$.
- By using the previous fact, we then get

$$D = r(D) = r\left(\bigcup_{x\neq 0} \mathrm{Ann}(x)\right) = \bigcup_{x\neq 0} r\left(\mathrm{Ann}(x)\right).$$

The result is proved. □

Operations on Ideals

Example

$A = \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{a} = (m)$. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_r$ be the prime divisors of m. Then

$$r(\mathfrak{a}) = (p_1 \cdots p_r) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{r} (p_i).$$

Proposition (Proposition 1.16)

If $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are ideals of A such that $r(\mathfrak{a})$ and $r(\mathfrak{b})$ are coprime, then $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are coprime.

Extension and Contraction

Let $f : A \to B$ be a ring homomorphism.

Fact

If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal, then $f(\mathfrak{a})$ need not be an ideal.

Definition (Extension)

The extension $\mathfrak{a}^e$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ is the ideal $Bf(\mathfrak{a})$ generated by $\mathfrak{a}$. That is, $\mathfrak{a}^e$ consists of all finite sums $\sum y_i f(x_i)$ with $y_i \in B$ and $x_i \in \mathfrak{a}$.

Fact

If $\mathfrak{b}$ is an ideal of B, then $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ is in ideal of A.

Definition (Contraction)

$f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})$ is called the contraction of $\mathfrak{b}$ and is denoted by $\mathfrak{b}^c$.

Extension and Contraction

Fact

If $\mathfrak{b}$ is prime, then its contraction $\mathfrak{b}^c$ is prime as well.

Proof.

If $\mathfrak{b}$ is prime, then

$$\begin{aligned} xy \in \mathfrak{b}^c \iff f(xy) \in \mathfrak{b} &\iff f(x)f(y) \in \mathfrak{b} \\ &\iff f(x) \in \mathfrak{b} \text{ or } f(y) \in \mathfrak{b} \\ &\iff x \in \mathfrak{b}^c \text{ or } y \in \mathfrak{b}^c. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{b}^c$ is a prime ideal. □

Extension and Contraction

Fact

If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal of A, then its extension $\mathfrak{b}^e$ need not be prime.

Example

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}$ and $B = \mathbb{Z}[i]$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$.

- We have $(2)^e = (2\mathbb{Z})\mathbb{Z}[i] = 2\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- However, $2\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is not prime, since

$$(1+i) \notin 2\mathbb{Z}[i] \quad \text{and} \quad (1+i)^2 = 2i \in 2\mathbb{Z}[i].$$

Extension and Contraction

Definition

- C is the set of contracted ideals in A.
- E is the set of extended ideals in B.

Proposition (Proposition 1.17; see also Carlson)

(i) $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{ec}$ *and* $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{b}^{ce}$.

(ii) $\mathfrak{a}^{e} = \mathfrak{a}^{ece}$ *and* $\mathfrak{b}^{c} = \mathfrak{b}^{cec}$.

(iii) $C = \{\mathfrak{a};\ \mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}\}$, $E = \{\mathfrak{b};\ \mathfrak{b}^{ce} = \mathfrak{b}\}$, *and* $\mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}^{e}$ *is a bijection from* C *onto* E *with inverse* $\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{b}^{c}$.

Proof of Proposition 1.17.

- For (i) we have
$$\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = f^{-1}\left(Bf(\mathfrak{a})\right) \supseteq f^{-1}\left(f(\mathfrak{a})\right) \supseteq \mathfrak{a},$$
$$\mathfrak{b}^{ce} = Bf\left(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{b})\right) \subseteq B\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}.$$
- For (ii), by using (i) we get
$$\mathfrak{a}^{e} \subseteq (\mathfrak{a}^{ec})^{e} = (\mathfrak{a}^{e})^{ce} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^{e}.$$
Thus, $\mathfrak{a}^{e} = \mathfrak{a}^{ece}$. Likewise, $\mathfrak{b}^{c} = \mathfrak{b}^{cec}$.
- For (iii), set $C' = \{\mathfrak{a};\ \mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{a}\}$ and $E' = \{\mathfrak{b};\ \mathfrak{b}^{ce} = \mathfrak{b}\}$.
  - Clearly, $C' \subseteq C$ and $E' \subseteq E$.
  - If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}^{c}$, then $\mathfrak{a}^{ec} = \mathfrak{b}^{cec} = \mathfrak{b}^{c} = \mathfrak{a}$.
  - Thus, $C = C'$. Likewise $E = E'$.
  - (ii) implies that $\mathfrak{a} \to \mathfrak{a}^{e}$ is a bijection from $C' = C$ onto $E' = E$ with inverse $\mathfrak{b} \to \mathfrak{b}^{c}$.

The result is proved. □

Extension and Contraction

Exercise (Exercise 1.18; see Carlson)

1. Let $\mathfrak{a}_1$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2$ be ideals of A and let $\mathfrak{b}_1$ and $\mathfrak{b}_2$ be ideals of B.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{a}_1+\mathfrak{a}_2)^e &= \mathfrak{a}_1^e+\mathfrak{a}_2^e, & (\mathfrak{b}_1+\mathfrak{b}_2)^c &\supseteq \mathfrak{b}_1^c+\mathfrak{b}_2^c,\\
(\mathfrak{a}_1\cap\mathfrak{a}_2)^e &\subseteq \mathfrak{a}_1^e\cap\mathfrak{a}_2^e, & (\mathfrak{b}_1\cap\mathfrak{b}_2)^c &= \mathfrak{b}_1^c\cap\mathfrak{b}_2^c,\\
(\mathfrak{a}_1\mathfrak{a}_2)^e &= \mathfrak{a}_1^e\mathfrak{a}_2^e, & (\mathfrak{b}_1\mathfrak{b}_2)^c &\supseteq \mathfrak{b}_1^c\mathfrak{b}_2^c,\\
(\mathfrak{a}_1:\mathfrak{a}_2)^e &\subseteq (\mathfrak{a}_1^e:\mathfrak{a}_2^e), & (\mathfrak{b}_1:\mathfrak{b}_2)^c &\subseteq (\mathfrak{b}_1^c:\mathfrak{b}_2^c),\\
r(\mathfrak{a})^e &\subseteq r(\mathfrak{a}^e), & r(\mathfrak{b})^c &= r(\mathfrak{b}^c).
\end{aligned}
$$

2. The set of extended ideals E is closed under sum and product.
3. The set of contracted ideals C is closed under the other three operations (intersection, ideal quotient and radical).

Remark

The fact that C is closed under ideal quotient follows from the equality $(\mathfrak{b}_1^c:\mathfrak{b}_2^c)=(\mathfrak{b}_1^{ce}:\mathfrak{b}_2^{ce})^c$ (see Carlson).

Prime Spectrum

Definition

The set of all prime ideals of A is called the *prime spectrum* of A and is denoted $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$.

Notation

In what follows we set $X = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$.

Definition

If $E \subseteq A$, then $V(E)$ is the set of prime ideals containing E.

Prime Spectrum. Zariski Topology

Proposition (Problem 1.15; see Carlson)

1. *If* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is the ideal generated by* E*, then*

$$V(E) = V(\mathfrak{a}) = V(r(\mathfrak{a})).$$

2. $V(0) = X$ *and* $V(1) = \emptyset$.
3. $V(\cup_{i\in I} E_i) = \cap_{i\in I} V(E_i)$.
4. *If* $\mathfrak{a}$ *and* $\mathfrak{b}$ *are ideals, then*

$$V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}).$$

Consequence

- The collection $\{V(E)\}$ satisfies the axioms for the closed sets in a topological space.
- That is, the collection $\{X \setminus V(E)\}$ is a topology on X.
- It is called the *Zariski topology*.

Prime Spectrum. Zariski Topology

Remark (see Problem 1.17 and Carlson)

If $f \in A$, set $X_f = X \setminus V(f)$. Then:

1. Each X_f is an open set in X.
2. The X_f form a basis for the Zariski topology.
3. Each X_f is compact (i.e., every open covering has a finite sub-covering; see Carlson).
4. In particular, $X = X_1$ is compact (but it need not be Hausdorff).

Prime Spectrum. Examples

Example ($X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$)

- We have

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{0\} \cup \{(p);\ p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{prime}\}\,.$$

- The closed sets are

$$\emptyset, \quad X, \quad \{(p_i);\ 1 \leq i \leq n\}\,.$$

- The closure of $\{0\}$ is X, and hence $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is not Hausdorff (since (0) is contained in every non-empty open set).
- 0 is called a *generic* point.

Prime Spectrum. Examples

Example ($X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x])$)

- We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{C}[x]) &= \{0\} \cup \{(x-\alpha);\ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}\,,\\ &\simeq \{(0)\} \cup \mathbb{C}.\end{aligned}$$

- The closed sets are

$$\emptyset, \quad X, \quad \{(x-\alpha_i);\ 1 \le i \le n\}\,.$$

- The closure of $\{0\}$ is X, and so X is not Hausdorff.

Prime Spectrum. Examples

Example ($X = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{R}[x])$)

- The irreducible polynomials on $\mathbb{R}$ are

$$x - \alpha, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad (x - \beta)(x - \overline{\beta}), \quad \Im\beta > 0.$$

- We have

$$\mathrm{Spec}\,(\mathbb{R}[x]) = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{C} \cup \{\Im\beta > 0\}.$$