

Commutative Algebra

Chapter 4: Primary Decomposition

Sichuan University, Fall 2020

Reminder (Prime ideals; see Chapter 1)

- An ideal \mathfrak{p} in a ring A is *prime* if $xy \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow x \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $y \in \mathfrak{p}$.
- Equivalently, \mathfrak{p} is prime if and only if A/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain.
- Every maximal ideal is prime.

Primary Decomposition

Definition (Primary Ideals)

An ideal \mathfrak{q} in A is *primary* if $\mathfrak{q} \neq A$ and

$$xy \in \mathfrak{q} \implies x \in \mathfrak{q} \text{ or } y^n \in \mathfrak{q} \text{ for some } n \geq 1.$$

Remarks

❶ If $\mathfrak{q} \neq A$, then

\mathfrak{q} primary \iff every zero-divisor in A/\mathfrak{q} is nilpotent.

❷ Every prime ideal is primary.

❸ If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism and \mathfrak{q} is a primary ideal in B , then its contraction $\mathfrak{q}^c = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a primary ideal in A .

Primary Decomposition

Reminder (Radicals of ideals; see Chapter 1)

- If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in A , then its *radical* is

$$r(\mathfrak{a}) = \{x \in A; x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}.$$

- $r(\mathfrak{a})$ is the intersection of all the prime ideals that contain \mathfrak{a} . In particular, this is an ideal containing \mathfrak{a} .
- $r(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = r(\mathfrak{a}) \cap r(\mathfrak{b})$.
- If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, then $r(\mathfrak{p}^n) = \mathfrak{p}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Remark

If \mathfrak{q} is an ideal $\neq A$, then \mathfrak{q} is primary if

$$xy \in \mathfrak{q} \implies x \in \mathfrak{q} \text{ or } y \in r(\mathfrak{q}).$$

Primary Decomposition

Proposition (Proposition 4.1)

Let \mathfrak{q} be a primary ideal in a ring A . Then $r(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal, and hence it's the smallest prime ideal containing \mathfrak{p} .

Definition

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal, then any primary ideal \mathfrak{q} such that $r(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}$ is called \mathfrak{p} -primary.

Remark

Warning! If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal whose radical $r(\mathfrak{a})$ is prime, then \mathfrak{a} need not be primary (see slide 8).

Example

The primary ideals in \mathbb{Z} are (0) and (p^n) with p prime, since:

- They are primary ideals.
- They are the only ideals in \mathbb{Z} with prime radical.

Example

Let $A = k[x, y]$, k field, and $\mathfrak{q} = (x, y^2)$. Then \mathfrak{q} is primary, since:

- $A/\mathfrak{q} \simeq (k[x, y]/(x))/((x, y^2)/(x)) \simeq k[y]/(y^2)$.
- Every zero-divisor in $k[y]/(y^2)$ is a multiple of y , and hence is nilpotent in $k[y]/(y^2)$.

The radical of \mathfrak{q} is $\mathfrak{p} = (x, y)$. We have

$$\mathfrak{p}^2 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}.$$

This shows that a primary ideal need not be a prime-power.

Primary Decomposition

Example

Let $A = k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$, and denote by \bar{x} , \bar{y} , \bar{z} the images of x , y , z in A . Set $\mathfrak{p} = (\bar{x}, \bar{z})$.

- The ideal \mathfrak{p} is prime, since $A/\mathfrak{p} \simeq k[x, y, z]/(x, z) \simeq k[y]$ is an integral domain.
- The ideal \mathfrak{p}^2 is not primary, since $\bar{x}\bar{y} = \bar{z}^2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2$, but $\bar{x} \notin \mathfrak{p}^2$ and $\bar{y} \notin \mathfrak{p} = r(\mathfrak{p}^2)$.

This shows that a prime-power need be a primary ideal. However, we have the following result:

Proposition (Proposition 4.2)

If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in A whose radical $r(\mathfrak{a})$ is maximal, then \mathfrak{a} is primary. In particular, every power of a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} is \mathfrak{m} -primary.

Lemma (Lemma 4.3)

If q_1, \dots, q_n are \mathfrak{p} -primary ideals, then $q = \bigcap_{i=1}^n q_i$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary.

Reminder (Ideal quotients; see Chapter 1)

- If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in A and $x \in A$, then

$$(\mathfrak{a} : x) = \{y \in A; xy \in \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

In particular, $(\mathfrak{a} : x)$ is an ideal.

- $(\mathfrak{a} : x) \cap (\mathfrak{b} : x) = (\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} : x).$

Lemma (Lemma 4.3)

Let \mathfrak{q} be a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal, and let $x \in A$. Then:

- (i) If $x \in \mathfrak{q}$, then $(\mathfrak{q} : x) = (1)$.*
- (ii) If $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$, then $(\mathfrak{q} : x)$ is \mathfrak{p} -primary. In particular, $r(\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{p}$.*
- (iii) If $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then $(\mathfrak{q} : x) = \mathfrak{q}$.*

Primary Decomposition

Definition (Primary Decomposition)

- A *primary decomposition* of an ideal \mathfrak{a} in A is of the form,

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathfrak{q}_i, \quad \mathfrak{q}_i \text{ primary ideal.}$$

- We say that the primary decomposition is *minimal* if
 - (i) $r(\mathfrak{q}_i) \neq r(\mathfrak{q}_j)$ for $i \neq j$.
 - (ii) $\mathfrak{q}_i \not\supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Remarks

- 1 Some ideals don't admit a primary decomposition. An ideal that admits a primary decomposition is called *decomposable*.
- 2 Any primary decomposition can be reduced to a minimal one:
 - Lemma 4.3 allows us to reach (i) by taking the intersections of the \mathfrak{q}_i with same radical.
 - Once we have (i) we achieve (ii) by throwing away the primary ideals \mathfrak{q}_i such that $\mathfrak{q}_i \supseteq \bigcap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{q}_j$.

Primary Decomposition

Theorem (1st Uniqueness Theorem; Theorem 4.5)

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal and $\mathfrak{a} = \cap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ a primary decomposition. Set $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then the \mathfrak{p}_i are exactly the prime ideals of the form $r(\mathfrak{a} : x)$, $x \in A$. In particular, they don't depend on the primary decomposition of \mathfrak{a} .

Remarks

- 1 The proof of the uniqueness theorem shows that for each i there is $x_i \in A$ such that $r(\mathfrak{a} : x_i)$ is \mathfrak{p}_i -primary.
- 2 The primary components are not independent of the minimal decomposition in general (see next slide).

Primary Decomposition

Example

Let $A = k[x, y]$, k field, and $\mathfrak{a} = (x^2, xy)$.

- Set $\mathfrak{p}_1 = (x)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = (x, y)$. Then

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2^2$$

This is a (minimal) primary decomposition, since:

- \mathfrak{p}_1 is a prime ideal, and hence is primary.
 - \mathfrak{p}_2 is a maximal ideal, since $k[x, y]/(x, y) \simeq k$ is a field.
 - The ideal \mathfrak{p}_2^2 then is \mathfrak{p}_2 -primary by Proposition 4.2.
- We have another minimal primary decomposition,

$$\mathfrak{a} = (x) \cap (x^2, y).$$

Here (x^2, y) can be shown to be primary in the same way as in the example of slide 7.

- Thus, we may have distinct minimal primary decompositions.

Primary Decomposition

Definition

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal in A and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ the prime ideals associated with any minimal primary decomposition.

- The prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ are said to *belong to* \mathfrak{a} or to be *associated with* \mathfrak{a} .
- The minimal elements of the set $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$ are called *minimal* or *isolated* prime ideals associated with \mathfrak{a} .
- The other prime ideals are called *embedded*.

Remark

The terminology isolated/embedded comes from algebraic geometry (see Atiyah-MacDonald's book).

Example

Let $A = k[x, y]$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (x^2, xy) = (x) \cap (x, y)^2$. The only minimal prime ideal is (x) , since $(x) \subset (x, y)$.

Reminder (see Chapter 1)

- If $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, then $(0 : x)$ is the annihilator of x , i.e.,

$$(0 : x) = \{y \in A; xy = 0\}.$$

- Let D be the set of all zero-divisors in A . By Lemma 1.15 we have

$$D = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} (0 : x) = \bigcup_{x \neq 0} r(0 : x).$$

Primary Decomposition

Proposition (Proposition 4.7)

Suppose that \mathfrak{a} is a decomposable ideal in A . Let $\mathfrak{a} = \cap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ be a minimal decomposition, and set $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. Then, we have

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathfrak{p}_i = \{x \in A; (\mathfrak{a} : x) \neq \mathfrak{a}\}.$$

In particular, if the zero ideal is decomposable, then the set D of zero-divisors is the union of the prime ideals that belong to 0 .

Remark

Suppose that the zero ideal is decomposable. Let $0 = \cap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ be a minimal decomposition, and set $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$. Let $\mathfrak{N} = r(0)$ be the nilradical of A . Then

$$\mathfrak{N} = r\left(\cap \mathfrak{q}_i\right) = \cap r(\mathfrak{q}_i) = \cap \mathfrak{p}_i.$$

That is, \mathfrak{N} is the intersection of all prime ideals that belong to 0 .

Primary Decomposition

Reminder (Rings of fractions; see Chapter 3)

Let S be a multiplicative subset of A .

- The ring of fractions $S^{-1}A$ consists of classes a/s with $a \in A$ and $s \in S$:

$$a/s = b/t \iff \exists u \in S \text{ such that } u(at - bs) = 0.$$

- The map $f : a \rightarrow a/1$ is a ring homomorphism from A to $S^{-1}A$.
- The extension of an ideal \mathfrak{a} is equal to $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$.

Notation

If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in A , we denote by $S(\mathfrak{a})$ the contraction of $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$, i.e., $S(\mathfrak{a}) = f^{-1}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a})$.

Reminder (see Proposition 3.11)

- For any ideal \mathfrak{a} in A we have

$$S(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcup_{s \in S} (\mathfrak{a} : s).$$

- There is a one-to-one correspondence ($\mathfrak{p} \leftrightarrow S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$) between prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ and prime ideals in A that don't meet S .
- $S^{-1}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = (S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) \cap (S^{-1}\mathfrak{b})$.
- $r(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}) = S^{-1}r(\mathfrak{a})$.

Proposition (Proposition 4.8)

Let S be a multiplicative closed subset of A and \mathfrak{q} a \mathfrak{p} -primary ideal of A .

(i) If $S \cap \mathfrak{p} \neq \emptyset$, then $S^{-1}\mathfrak{q} = S^{-1}A$.

(ii) If $S \cap \mathfrak{p} = \emptyset$, then $S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$ is $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ -primary and $S(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$.

In particular, we have a one-to-one correspondance ($\mathfrak{q} \leftrightarrow S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}$) between primary ideals of $S^{-1}A$ and primary ideals of A whose radicals do not meet S .

Proposition (Proposition 4.9)

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal in A and $\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ a primary decomposition. Set $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, and assume the indexation is so that S meets $\mathfrak{p}_{m+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$, but not $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$. Then

$$S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq m} S^{-1}\mathfrak{q}_i, \quad S(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathfrak{q}_i,$$

and these decompositions are minimal primary decompositions.

Primary Decomposition

Definition

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal. A set Σ of prime ideals belonging to \mathfrak{a} is called *isolated* if, for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p}' belonging to \mathfrak{a} , we have

$$\mathfrak{p}' \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma \implies \mathfrak{p}' \in \Sigma.$$

Remark

If $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, then Σ is isolated if and only if \mathfrak{p} is minimal.

Reminder (see Proposition 3.11)

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ be prime ideals and \mathfrak{a} an ideal in A . Then

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i \implies \exists i \text{ such that } \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i \forall i \implies \mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i.$$

Primary Decomposition

Facts

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal and Σ an isolated set of prime ideals belonging to \mathfrak{a} . Set

$$S = A \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p} \right) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} (A \setminus \mathfrak{p}).$$

- S is a multiplicative closed subset of A , since this is the intersections of such subsets.
- Let \mathfrak{p}' be a prime ideal belonging to \mathfrak{a} . If $\mathfrak{p}' \in \Sigma$, then $\mathfrak{p}' \cap S = \emptyset$.
- Moreover, by Proposition 3.11:

$$\mathfrak{p}' \notin \Sigma \implies \mathfrak{p}' \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p} \implies \mathfrak{p}' \cap S \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$S \cap \mathfrak{p}' = \emptyset \iff \mathfrak{p}' \in \Sigma.$$

Primary Decomposition

Theorem (2nd Uniqueness Theorem; Theorem 4.10)

Let \mathfrak{a} be a decomposable ideal in A and $\mathfrak{a} = \cap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{q}_i$ a primary decomposition. Set $\mathfrak{p}_i = r(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, and let $\Sigma = \{\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{i_m}\}$ be an isolated set of prime ideals belonging to \mathfrak{a} . Then $\mathfrak{q}_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{i_m}$ is independent of the decomposition.

In particular, we have:

Corollary (Corollary 4.11)

The isolated primary components (i.e., the components whose radicals are minimal primary ideals belonging to \mathfrak{a}) are uniquely determined by \mathfrak{a} .

Primary Decomposition

Proof of 2nd Uniqueness Theorem.

Set $S = A \setminus \left(\bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Sigma} \mathfrak{p} \right) = A \setminus \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{p}_{i_l} \right)$.

- From slide 22 we know that S is multiplicatively closed and $\mathfrak{p}_i \cap S = \emptyset \iff \mathfrak{p}_i \in \Sigma$.
- Applying Proposition 4.9 then gives

$$S(\mathfrak{a}) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}_i \cap S = \emptyset} \mathfrak{q}_i = \bigcap_{l=1}^m \mathfrak{q}_{i_l}.$$

- Observe that S only depends on the prime ideals \mathfrak{p}_{i_l} . By the 1st uniqueness theorem these prime ideals only depends on \mathfrak{a} . Thus, $S(\mathfrak{a})$ depends only on \mathfrak{a} .
- It then follows that $\mathfrak{q}_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_{i_m}$ only depends on \mathfrak{a} , and hence is independent of the decomposition.

The result is proved. □